

# Efficiency of Algorithms

- **Last time**

- Algorithm for pattern matching
- Efficiency of algorithms

- **Today**

- Efficiency of sequential search
- Data cleanup algorithms
  - Copy-over, shuffle-left, converging pointers
- Efficiency of data cleanup algorithms
- Order of magnitude  $\Theta(n)$ ,  $\Theta(n^2)$

# (Time) Efficiency of an algorithm

## worst case efficiency

is the *maximum* number of steps that an algorithm can take for *any* collection of data values.

## Best case efficiency

is the *minimum* number of steps that an algorithm can take *any* collection of data values.

## Average case efficiency

- the efficiency averaged on all possible inputs
- must assume a distribution of the input
- we normally assume uniform distribution (all keys are equally probable)

If the input has size  $n$ , efficiency will be a *function of  $n$*

# Order of Magnitude

- Worst-case of sequential search:
  - $3n+5$  comparisons
  - Are these constants accurate? Can we ignore them?
- Simplification:
  - ignore the constants, look only at the order of magnitude
  - $n, 0.5n, 2n, 4n, 3n+5, 2n+100, 0.1n+3$  ....are all linear
  - we say that their **order of magnitude** is  $n$ 
    - $3n+5$  is order of magnitude  $n$ :  $3n+5 = \Theta(n)$
    - $2n + 100$  is order of magnitude  $n$ :  $2n+100 = \Theta(n)$
    - $0.1n+3$  is order of magnitude  $n$ :  $0.1n+3 = \Theta(n)$
    - ....

# Efficiency of Copy-Over

- Best case:
  - all values are zero: no copying, no extra space
- Worst-case:
  - No zero value: n elements copied, n extra space
  - Time:  $\Theta(n)$
  - Extra space: n

# Efficiency of Shuffle-Left

- Space:
  - no extra space (except few variables)
- Time
  - Best-case
    - No zero value:
    - no copying  $\implies$  order of  $n = \Theta(n)$
  - Worst case
    - All zero values:
      - every element thus requires copying  $n-1$  values one to the left
    - $n \times (n-1) = n^2 - n =$  order of  $n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$  (why?)
  - Average case
    - Half of the values are zero
    - $n/2 \times (n-1) = (n^2 - n)/2 =$  order of  $n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$

# Efficiency of Converging Pointers Algorithm

- Space
  - No extra space used (except few variables)
- Time
  - Best-case
    - No zero value
    - No copying => order of n =  $\Theta(n)$
  - Worst-case
    - All values zero:
    - One copy at each step => n-1 copies
    - order of n =  $\Theta(n)$
  - Average-case
    - Half of the values are zero:
    - n/2 copies
    - order of n =  $\Theta(n)$

# Data Cleanup Algorithms

- Copy-Over
  - worst-case: time  $\Theta(n)$ , extra space  $n$
  - best case: time  $\Theta(n)$ , no extra space
- Shuffle-left
  - worst-case: time  $\Theta(n^2)$ , no extra space
  - Best-case: time  $\Theta(n)$ , no extra space
- Converging pointers
  - worst-case: time  $\Theta(n)$ , no extra space
  - Best-case: time  $\Theta(n)$ , no extra space



# Order of magnitude $\Theta(n^2)$

- Any algorithm that does  $cn^2$  work for any constant  $c$ 
  - $2n^2$  is order of magnitude  $n^2$  :  $2n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$
  - $.5n^2$  is order of magnitude  $n^2$  :  $.5n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$
  - $100n^2$  is order of magnitude  $n^2$  :  $100n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$

# Another example

- Problem: Suppose we have  $n$  cities and the distances between cities are stored in a table, where entry  $[i,j]$  stores the distance from city  $i$  to city  $j$ 
  - How many distances in total?
  - An algorithm to write out these distances
    - For each row 1 through  $n$  do
      - For each column 1 through  $n$  do
        - » Print out the distance in this row and column
  - Analysis?

# Comparison of $\Theta(n)$ and $\Theta(n^2)$

- $\Theta(n)$ :  $n, 2n+5, 0.01n, 100n, 3n+10, \dots$
- $\Theta(n^2)$ :  $n^2, 10n^2, 0.01n^2, n^2+3n, n^2+10, \dots$
- We do not distinguish between constants..
  - Then...why do we distinguish between  $n$  and  $n^2$  ??
  - Compare the shapes:  $n^2$  grows much faster than  $n$ 
    - Anything that is order of magnitude  $n^2$  will eventually be larger than anything that is of order  $n$ , no matter what the constant factors are
    - Fundamentally  $n^2$  is more time consuming than  $n$
  - $\Theta(n^2)$  is larger (less efficient) than  $\Theta(n)$ 
    - $0.1n^2$  is larger than  $10n$  (for large enough  $n$ )
    - $0.0001n^2$  is larger than  $1000n$  (for large enough  $n$ )

# The Tortoise and the Hare

## Does algorithm efficiency matter??

- ...just buy a faster machine!

Example:

- Pentium Pro
  - 1GHz ( $10^9$  instr per second), \$2000
- Cray computer
  - 10000 GHz ( $10^{13}$  instr per second), \$30million
- Run a  $\Theta(n)$  algorithm on a Pentium
- Run a  $\Theta(n^2)$  algorithm on a Cray
- For what values of  $n$  is the Pentium faster?
  - For  $n > 10000$  the Pentium leaves the Cray in the dust..