#### File System for Mobile Computing

- Quick overview of AFS
- Identify the issues for file system design in wireless and mobile environments
- Design Options for mobile file system
- Coda File System

### **Sharing Semantics**

- Unix Semantics (Read after write): every operation on a file is instantly visible to all processes.
- Session semantics: a process that intends to write does so to its own copy. After the process closes the file, the changes are then made visible to other processes.
- Close-to-open cache consistency by NFS: clients flush all changes back to the server on each file close, and check for file changes on the server on each file open.

#### Commonly-used mechanisms and techniques in distributed file systems

#### • Caching at clients

- □ Exploit temporal locality of reference
- Key issue: the size of cached units. Options: individual pages of files; whole files, large block
- □ Where to maintain? In main memory; on disk
- □ Cache validation: client to contact server; server notify clients when cached data is rendered stale
- □ Spatial locality of a file: read-ahead of file data
- Transferring data in bulk
  - □ Amortize fixed protocol overheads over many consecutive pages of a file
  - Depend on spatial locality of reference within files for effectiveness

### Mechanisms (cont'd)

- Hints
  - Improve performance if correct; has no semantically negative consequence if wrong
  - □ Most often used for file location information
- Encryption
  - Prevent unauthorized release and modification of information
- Mount points
  - □ Glue file name spaces into a single, seamless, hierarchically structured name space
  - Two ways: each client individually mounts subtrees from servers; embed mount information in the data stored in the file servers.

#### Andrew File System

- Goal: distributed file system for large-scale systems
- General principles:
  - □ Name space: private client name space, & a globally shared and location independent name space
  - Unit of sharing: volume (a variable file set forming a partial subtree of the name space; the basis of disk quotas)
  - □ Cache coherence: upon each open, contact server to verify the cache to be up to date (AFS-1)
  - Locating server: volume location database (caches volume <-> server mapping)
  - Pathname traversal: client caches directory; client initiates lookup one at a time; client does lookup

## AFS (continued)

- General principle (cont'd)
  - □ Availability: each pathname directory must be available
  - □ State: callback state
  - □ Caching:
    - What is cached: status, directory, whole files
    - Client has 2 caches: status cache (kept in VM) to rapidly service stat. Calls; data cache (kept in local disk)
    - Where is it cached: disk
    - Cache size: fixed (64KB)
    - Modifications propagate on close. Modifications to directory are immediately visible
    - No more cache consistency check on open. Cache is assumed to be correct until the client is informed via callback
    - Callbacks: when a client caches a file, the server promises to notify it upon any changes (inconsistency)
    - Callback problems:
      - Load at server increases if many clients cache same file
      - Client and server may be out of sync (server crash and recovery)

### ACID properties for transactions

• Atomicity: a transaction either commits or aborts. If a transaction commits, all its effects remain; otherwise, effects are undone.

□ Each transaction appears indivisible w.r.t. crashes

- Consistency: a transaction is a correct transformation of the system state.

   It preserves the state invariants
- Isolation/Serializability: concurrent transactions are isolated from the updates of other incomplete transactions. These updates do not constitute a consistent state.

□ Transactions appear indivisible to each other

• Durability: once a transaction commits, its effects will persist even if there are system failures.

## Mobile File Systems

#### • Requirements:

- access the same file as if connected
- retain the same consistency semantics for shared files as if connected
- availability and reliability as if connected
- ACID (atomic/recoverability, consistent, isolated/serializablity, durable) properties for transactions

#### Constraints:

- disconnection and/or partial connection
- low bandwidth connection
- variable bandwidth and latency connection
- connection cost

## Mobile File system

- Four major aspects of disconnected or partially connected operations:
  - hoarding: what to pre-fetch
  - consistency: what to keep consistent when connectivity is partial
  - emulation: how to operate when disconnected
  - conflict resolution: how to resolve conflicts

#### **Design Options for Hoarding**

- Application hints (Coda): application provides a hoarding database
  - $\Box$  +: can list all files needed
  - □ --: cannot predict accurately in advance
  - □ --: do not know all system files used
- Prior run (disconnected AFS): application runs the same program
  - $\Box$  +: no need to explicitly provide hoard database
  - □ +: AFS automatically does caching
  - --: no single run of application typically brings over all files
  - □ --: cannot predict all applications
  - □ --: tedious to do "cat filename" for each data file u want

#### **Design Options for Hoarding**

- Snapshot spying (updated version of coda): look at a time window and hoard all files used in this time window
  - $\Box$  +: works well along with hoarding database
  - □ -: still has the single run problem
- Semantic distance (Ficus): measure the correlation between file accesses (e.g. the distance btw. file opens and closes) and cluster files
  - $\square$  +: based on long term user behavior
  - $\Box$  +: independent of a time window
  - □ --: cannot relate concurrent access
  - □ ?: do not know how well it works

#### Options for Hoarding (Cont'd)

- Application context: create working sets for each application, and load all the files for desired applications
  - $\Box$  +: solves the single run problem
  - □ +: works well for application/system files
  - --: still cannot predict which applications user
     will run
  - --: do not work well for data files (e.g. emacs will create a working set of all previous data files unless pruned carefully)

### **Options for Consistency Management**

- <u>Optimistic</u> consistency during disconnection: cannot contact server during disconnection, so assume NO conflict
  - $\square$  +: allows disconnected operation
  - □ --: causes potential conflict
- <u>Conservative</u> consistency policy: lock the file before disconnection (or when callback recall fails)
  - $\Box$  +: prevents conflicts
  - --: if a portable caches files and disconnects, backbone users cannot access file (I.e., requires full connectivity among clients currently caching a file)

#### **Consistency Management**

- Conservative on shared files, optimistic on private files: monitor file sharing activity at server (how many users perform concurrent read/write sharing of a file), and be conservative for read/write shared files
  - +: reduces conflict while improving access to unshared files
  - □ --: cannot accurately monitor files, particularly when servers are replicated and network may be partitioned
- Replay: when the communication pipe is thin, replay the actions (commands) rather than keep files consistent
  - $\Box$  +: good for actions that create large files (e.g. gcc)
  - □ --: significant processing overhead
  - --: almost impossible to keep environments and context fully consistent

#### **Consistency Management**

- Block-by-block consistency: when the communication pipe is thin, fetch/update critical blocks on demand
  - +: works well in conjunction with (3), can propagate changes to files which are known to be shared more often
     --: needs optimistic concurrency as backup
     --: does not use application semantics
- Application-dependent consistency: application imposes structure on file and specifies which parts of the file need to be kept consistent

□ +: semantic consistency users bandwidth intelligently

- $\Box$  +: can work for both aware and unaware applications
- □ --: complex mechanisms to handle unstructured files
- --: per-user mechanism, since different applications may potentially use different templates on the same file

### Emulation

- Goal: client emulates whatever basic distributed file system is being adapted to support disconnection
- Tasks involved:
  - □ Create files
    - Can either create temporary or permanent file ids
    - Can hoard the directory structure in order to reduce potential conflicts
  - □ Maintain logs:
    - For session semantics (1), log only file-mutating closes
    - For replay semantics (4), log all operations
    - For block-by-block semantics (5), log all writes
    - For application-dependent semantics (6), log only writes which need to be kept consistent
    - Compress logs by deleting entries upon unlink, overwrites, etc.
  - □ Propagate logs:
    - Upon partial or total reconnection, propagate log back to server
    - Can prioritize updates to propagate

#### **Conflict Detection**

- Detect write-write conflicts: detect conflict conservatively when the same base version of the file has been updated concurrently during disconnection by both the portable and the backbone
  - $\Box$  +: simple
  - □ --: inadequate in some cases
- Detect read-write and write-write conflicts: provide serializability
  - □ +: satisfies a key requirement for transactions
  - $\Box$  --: complex

#### Conflict detection and resolution

- Application specific conflict detection procedures: application provides the rules to detect conflicts and merge updates when files have been updated concurrently
  - $\Box$  +: works well for structured files
  - □ --: cumbersome and difficult for unstructured files
- Ownership: owner has the "correct" copy in case of conflict
  - $\Box$  +: simple semantics
  - --: some external resolution still needs to be performed by user who is notified that his/her changes have been discarded

#### **Conflict resolution**

- Application specific conflict resolution procedures: application provides the rules to resolve conflicts
  - $\Box$  +: can automate fully
  - --: very difficult to write applications in such an environment without adequate library support
- Multi-level read-write: need to introduce multi-level read/write semantics
  - □ All reads and writes are provisional until they have been propagated and conflicts have been resolved
  - □ Notion of provisional vs. committed operations

#### Main Features for Coda

- Main goals: availability and scalability
- Disconnected operation for mobile computing
- High performance thru client side persistent caching
- Server replication
- Security support
- Continued operation during partial network failures in server networks
- Good scalability
- Application transparent adaptation
- Well defined semantics of sharing, even in the presence of network failures

#### **Trickle Reintegration**

- A mechanism that propagates updates to the servers asyn., while minimally impacting foreground activity
- Deferring the update propagation to servers, Conceptually similar to write-back caching
- Make write-disconnect state permanent
- Keep log optimization thru an aging window

# Mobile File Systems

#### Options:

- hoard, cache or prefetch part/whole files: what to cache, where to cache, what grain to cache at
- a variety of consistency semantics: optimistic, conservative, application-dependent, block-by-block,
- relaxed properties (e.g. only isolation) for transactions
- reconciliation upon reconnection: when to propagate updates, which updates to propagate given limited/partial connection capability, how to resolve detected conflicts
- application-level hints or directions for caching/consistency/partial consistency: use of semantics for validation, caching and consistency
- profiling for hoarding/caching
- reservation of system resources, and loss profiles to arbitrate between applications during conflict