

Quality of Service Guarantees in Mobile Computing

- Introduction
- QoS Parameters
- Architectural and Internetworking Issues
- Traffic Classes at the Transport Layer

Introduction

☐ Mobile Users

☒ Personal Digital Assistants

- Palmtop computers with wireless communications technology
- Able to access data and other services while on the move

☒ High Data Rates

- Between 1-2Mbps per mobile user

☒ Microcellular Network Architecture

- Geographical region divided into microcells
- Diameter of the order of hundreds of meters
- Central host machine within the cell serve as a gateway to the wired networks - Mobile Support Station (MSS)

Introduction

❑ Issues need to be considered:

- ✉ Design of efficient network architectures to support mobility
- ✉ Protocols to provide uninterrupted service to mobile users
- ✉ Maintaining quality of service guarantees for applications
- ✉ Renegotiating these guarantees during the lifetime of a connection

❑ Unique features of the mobile computing environment

✉ Guarantee of QoS:

- Unpredictability of their motion

✉ Maintaining seamless connection

- Mobility of users
- Brief blackout periods

QoS Parameters

☐ Quality of Service Parameters:

- ☒ Delay & jitter bounds

- ☒ Minimum & maximum bandwidth requirements

- ☒ Maximum loss bound, etc.

☐ Two additional QoS parameters

- ☒ Essential to specify grades of service for mobile users

Graceful Degradation Service

❑ Service degradation

- ✉ When many users with open connections enter a cell
- ✉ Total requested bandwidth exceeds the cell's capacity
- ✉ How to allocate the limited bandwidth among all the users

❑ One scheme

- ✉ Prioritize all the open connections &
- ✉ Penalize the least priority connections first.
- ✉ Problems:
 - Not always possible to rank-order all the different applications.
 - “Low priority” connections may lose all connections.

Loss Profile

❑ Loss Profile

✉ Require users to specify, during connection setup,

- A preferred way in which data can be discarded in the event that bandwidth requirements within a cell exceed the available bandwidth.

✉ Used in conjunction with other QoS parameters.

✉ Acceptable loss behavior

- Service-provider specify for their respective services
- Channel allocation policies try to follow this type of loss behavior

Seamless Communication

□ Goal

☒ Users do not see any breaks in service.

□ Group idea

☒ Mobile use in cell C_i

☒ Collection of cells surrounding C_i - G_i

- The current group of the mobile user.

☒ Message destined for the mobile user is multicast to all the cells in G_i .

☒ Predictive buffering

- Anticipating the arrival of the mobile user
- Prebuffering

Seamless Communication

✉ Shape and composition of a group determined by

- Set of neighboring cells that can be accessed from the present one;
- The speed at which the mobile user moves between cells.
- The direction of motion.

☐ QoS Parameter: Probability of Seamless Communication

✉ Drawback

- Enormous overhead - storage space required at MSS.

✉ Predict future movements of a mobile user

- Estimate the latency of the user in a cell, begin prebuffering at neighboring cells only after a certain amount of time.
- Restrict the group membership based on observed user behavior.

Seamless Communication

□ Implications for Network Design

- ✉ Able to track users as they move.
- ✉ Predict future position of the users in order to define groups.
- ✉ MSS nodes cannot implement loss profiles.
- ✉ Individual MSS node is unaware of the past history of a connection.

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

□ Network Design Issues

- ☒ Develop a mobile network architecture that provides a simple way to satisfy the QoS requirements of diverse applications.
- ☒ Mobile network as an extension of ATM networks
 - Architecture and protocols compatible with ATM
 - Minimum protocol processing at the interface
 - Several drawbacks:
 - Situation1:
 - » Close connections - undesirable
 - » Renegotiate QoS parameters - undesirable
 - ATM cells be delivered out-of-order
 - No support for deciding appropriate multicast groups as users move about.
 - Paths needs to be updated (i.e., modify tables in ATM switches.)

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

□ Our Approach

☒ Three-level hierarchy:

- Lowest level - MH
- Next level - MSS - one to each cell
 - Provide MH with connectivity to the underlying network and to one another.
- MSSs controlled by an assigned supervisor machine - Supervisor Host (SH)
 - Connected to the wired network
 - Handles most of the routing and other protocol details
 - Maintains connections for MH
 - Handles flow-control
 - Responsible for maintaining negotiated QoS

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

✉ Several Advantages:

- SH tracks users within its domain and maintains group information for each user.
 - Communication between SH and MH takes place via packets containing sequence numbers. (Handle duplicate or out-of-order data)
- QoS parameters set for the mobile part of the connection (between MH and SH) and another defined for the high-speed fixed network.
 - Loss profile implemented transparent to the fixed network.
 - SH responsible for deciding which parts of a data stream to discard based upon user specified loss profiles.
 - SH manages all aspects of a user connection so long as the user is within its domain.

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

□ Proposed Architecture

☒ Average density of mobile users per microcell

☒ Cellular network architecture

- Microcells - diameter of the order of 100m
- Picocells - diameter of the order of 10m
- Advantage of a smaller cell size
 - Higher throughput
 - Greater frequency reuse
 - Low-power transmitters
- Drawback
 - Faster inter-cell user mobility
 - Host of routing, tracking and hand-off problems.

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

✉ Difference from traditional microcellular architectures

- Network intelligence implemented in MSS nodes.
 - Not scalable
- Three-level hierarchy do much more than switching and tracking.
 - SH maintain QoS guarantees for mobile users.
 - MSS and SH responsible for flow-control.
 - More cost effective and easily scalable.

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

☐ Internetworking

- ☒ IPIP (“IP-within-IP”) protocol.

- ☒ VIP (Virtual Internet Protocol)

☐ Connection management

- ☒ Sequence number

- ☒ MSS nodes - communication devices with large caches.

- ☒ Connection maintenance - responsibility of the SH

Architectural and Internetworking Issues

- Architectural support for satisfying QoS requirements
 - ✉ Connection between the MH and a fixed host broken into:
 - MH and SH
 - SH and fixed host.
 - ✉ Shield fixed nodes from idiosyncrasies of the mobile environment.
 - ✉ Handoffs between two SHs
 - First approach
 - old SH anticipates the move and informs the new SH who then begins negotiations
 - Second approach
 - set up static connections between neighboring SHs

Traffic Classes at the Transport Layer

- Advantage of providing different traffic classes for the mobile environment:
 - ⊗ SH responsible for translating between the framing protocol of the mobile environment and the high-speed network environment.
 - ⊗ Ability to provide users with differential service based on the type of application.
 - ⊗ SH behaves as the end-point for the service from the point of view of the service provider.
 - ⊗ Renegotiation of QoS handled between MH and SH

Traffic Classes at the Transport Layer

❑ Connectionless Service

✉ Like UDP, no guarantee of correct delivery.

❑ Connection-oriented Service

✉ Guaranteed in-order delivery of packets.

❑ Variable Bit-Rate - Priority

✉ Tries to provide bounded delay and bounded loss service.

✉ Best-effort service class.

❑ Constant Bit-Rate - Priority

✉ Best effort guarantee with increasing losses as the available bandwidth shrinks.

❑ Constant Bit-Rate - Critical

✉ Low bit-rate service takes priority over all others and suffers no loss or queueing delays.