Digital Image Processing

Image Enhancement Using Arithmetic/Logical Operations

Enhancement Using Arithmetic/Logic Operations

 \circ AND $\circ OR$ NOT Subtraction Addition Multiplication Division



abc def

(a) Original image. (b) AND image mask.
(c) Result of the AND operation on images (a) and
(b). (d) Original image. (e) OR image mask.
(f) Result of operation OR on images (d) and
(e).

Image subtraction

 Enhancement of differences between images

$$g(x, y) = f(x, y) - h(x, y)$$

a b c d

(a) Original fractal image. (b) Result of setting the four lower-order bit planes to zero. (c) Difference between (a) and (b). (d) Histogramequalized difference image. (Original image courtesy of Ms. Melissa D. Binde, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA).



Mask mode radiography



a b

FIGURE 3.29

Enhancement by image subtraction. (a) Mask image. (b) An image (taken after injection of a contrast medium into the bloodstream) with mask subtracted out.





FIGURE 3.50 (a) image of Galaxy Pair NGC 3314. (b) Image corrupted by additive Gaussian noise with zero mean and a standard deviation of 64 gray levels. (c)–(f) Results of averaging K = 8, 16, 64, and 128 noisy images. (Original image courtesy of NASA.)



(a) From top to bottom:
Difference images between
Fig. 3.30(a) and the four images in
Figs. 3.30(c)
through (f),
respectively.
(b) Corresponding histograms.

Basics of Spatial Filtering

$$R = w(-1,-1)f(x-1, y-1) + w(-1,0)f(x-1, y) + \dots + w(0,0)f(x, y) + \dots + w(1,0)f(x+1, y) + \dots + w(1,1)f(x+1, y+1)$$

Image size: M×N
Mask size: m×n

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{s=-at=-b}^{a} \sum_{w=-b}^{b} w(s, t) f(x+s, y+t)$$

• a = (m-1)/2 and b = (n-1)/2• x = 0,1,2,...,M-1 and y = 0,1,2,...,N-1



The mechanics of spatial filtering. The magnified drawing shows a 3×3 mask and the image section directly under it; the image section is shown displaced out from under the mask for ease of readability.

$$R = w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2 + \dots + w_9 z_9$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^9 w_i z_i$$

Another representation of a general 3×3 spatial filter mask.

w_1	w_2	w_3
w_4	w_5	w_6
w_7	w_8	w_9

Smoothing Spatial Filters

Smoothing

- Noise reduction
- Smoothing of false contours
- Reduction of irrelevant detail





$$g(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{s=-at=-b}^{a} \sum_{w=-b}^{b} w(s, t) f(x+s, y+t)}{\sum_{s=-at=-b}^{a} \sum_{w=-b}^{b} w(s, t)}$$



a b c d

Original image, of size 500×500 pixels. (b)–(f) Results of smoothing with square averaging filter masks of sizes n = 3, 5, 9, 15, and 35, respectively. The black squares at the top are of sizes 3, 5, 9, 15, 25, 35, 45, and 55 pixels, respectively; their bore f ders are 25 pixels apart. The letters at the bottom range in size from 10 to 24 points, in increments of 2 points; the large letter at the top is 60 points. The vertical bars are 5 pixels wide and 100 pixels high; their separation is 20 pixels. The diameter of the circles is 25 pixels, and their borders are 15 pixels apart; their gray levels range from 0% to 100% black in increments of 20%. The background of the image is 10% black. The noisy rectangles are of size 50×120 pixels.



a b c

3.36 (a) Image from the Hubble Space Telescope. (b) Image processed by a 15×15 averaging mask. (c) Result of thresholding (b). (Original image courtesy of NASA.)

Order-statistic filters

- median filter: Replace the value of a pixel by the median of the gray levels in the neighborhood of that pixel
- Noise-reduction



a b c

FIGURE 3.37 (a) X-ray image of circuit board corrupted by salt-and-pepper noise. (b) Noise reduction with a 3×3 averaging mask. (c) Noise reduction with a 3×3 median filter. (Original image courtesy of Mr. Joseph E. Pascente, Lixi, Inc.)

Sharpening Spatial Filters

Foundation

The first-order derivative

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = f(x+1) - f(x)$$

The second-order derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f(x+1) + f(x-1) - 2f(x)$$

a b c

(a) A simple
image. (b) 1-D
horizontal graylevel profile along
the center of the
image and
including the
isolated noise
point.
(c) Simplified
profile (the points are joined by
dashed lines to
simplify
interpretation).





 Use of second derivatives for enhancement-The Laplacian
 Development of the method

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f(x+1, y) + f(x-1, y) - 2f(x, y)$$

 $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y+1) + f(x, y-1) - 2f(x, y)$

$$\nabla^{2} f = [f(x+1, y) + f(x-1, y) + f(x, y+1) + f(x, y-1)] - 4f(x, y)$$

if the center coefficient t
$$f(x, y) - \nabla^{2} f(x, y)$$
of the Laplacian mask
is negative
if the center coefficient t
$$f(x, y) + \nabla^{2} f(x, y)$$
of the Laplacian mask
is positive

0	1	0	1	1	1
1	-4	1	1	-8	1
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1
-1	4	-1	-1	8	-1
0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1

a b c d

(a) Filter mask used to implement the digital Laplacian, as defined in Eq. (3.7-4). (b) Mask used to implement an extension of this equation that includes the diagonal neighbors. (c) and (d) Two other implementations of the Laplacian.

a b c d

(a) Image of the North Pole of the moon.
(b) Laplacianfiltered image.
(c) Laplacian image scaled for display purposes.
(d) Image enhanced by using Eq. (3.7-5).
(Original image courtesy of NASA.)



Simplifications

$$g(x, y) = f(x, y) - [f(x+1, y) + f(x-1, y) + f(x, y+1) + f(x, y-1)] + 4f(x, y)$$

= 5f(x, y) - [f(x+1, y) + f(x-1, y) + f(x, y+1) + f(x, y-1)]



a b c (a) Composite Laplacian mask. (b) A second composite mask. (c) Scanning electron microscope image. (d) and (e) Results of filtering with the masks in (a) and (b), respectively. Note how much sharper (e) is than (d). (Original image courtesy of Mr. Michael Shaffer, Department of Geological Sciences, University of Oregon, Eugene.)

Unsharp masking and high-boost filtering

- Unsharp masking
 - Substract a blurred version of an image from the image itself

$$f_s(x, y) = f(x, y) - \overline{f}(x, y)$$

• f(x, y): The image, $\bar{f}(x, y)$: The blurred image

• High-boost filtering $f_{hb}(x, y) = Af(x, y) - \bar{f}(x, y)$ $f_{hb}(x, y) = (A-1)f(x, y) + f(x, y) - \bar{f}(x, y)$ $f_{hb}(x, y) = (A-1)f(x, y) + f_s(x, y)$

Use the Laplacian as the sharpening filtering

$$f_{hb} = \begin{cases} \text{if the center coefficien t} \\ Af(x, y) - \nabla^2 f(x, y) & \text{of the Laplacian mask} \\ \text{is negative} \\ \text{if the center coefficien t} \\ Af(x, y) + \nabla^2 f(x, y) & \text{of the Laplacian mask} \\ \text{is positive} \end{cases}$$

0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1
-1	A + 4	-1	-1	A + 8	-1
0	-1	0	-1	-1	-1

a b

The high-boost filtering technique can be implemented with either one of these masks, with $A \ge 1$.

a b c d

(a) Same as Fig. 3.41(c), but darker. (a) Laplacian of (a) computed with the mask in Fig. 3.42(b) using A = 0. (c) Laplacian enhanced image using the mask in Fig. 3.42(b) with A = 1. (d) Same as (c), but using A = 1.7.



Use of first derivatives for enhancement—The gradient



The magnitude is rotation invariant (isotropic)

$$\nabla f = \max(\nabla \mathbf{f}) = \left[G_x^2 + G_y^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 $\nabla f \approx \left| G_{x} \right| + \left| G_{y} \right|$

 Computing using cross differences, Roberts cross-gradient operators

$$G_x = (z_9 - z_5)$$
 and $G_y = (z_8 - z_6)$

$$\nabla f = \left[(z_9 - z_5)^2 + (z_8 - z_6)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\nabla f \approx \left| z_9 - z_5 \right| + \left| z_8 - z_6 \right|$$

Sobel operators

 A weight value of 2 is to achieve some smoothing by giving more importance to the center point

$$\nabla f \approx \left| (z_7 + 2z_8 + z_9) - (z_1 + 2z_2 + z_3) \right| \\ + \left| (z_3 + 2z_6 + z_9) - (z_1 + 2z_4 + z_7) \right|$$

a bc de

A 3 \times 3 region of an image (the *z*'s are gray-level values) and masks used to compute the gradient at point labeled *z*₅. All masks coefficients sum to zero, as expected of a derivative operator.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>z</i> ₁	<i>z</i> ₂	Z3
Z ₇ Z ₈ Z9	z_4	z_5	z_6
	z7	z_8	Z9

-1	0	0	-1
0	1	1	0

-1	-2	-1	-1	0	1
0	0	0	-2	0	2
1	2	1	-1	0	1



a b

Optical image of contact lens (note defects on the boundary at 4 and 5 o'clock). (b) Sobel gradient. (Original image courtesy of Mr. Pete Sites, Perceptics Corporation.)

Combining Spatial Enhancement Methods

o An example

- Laplacian to highlight fine detail
- Gradient to enhance prominent edges
- Smoothed version of the gradient image used to mask the Laplacian image
- Increase the dynamic range of the gray levels by using a gray-level transformation



a b c d

(a) Image of whole body bone scan.
(b) Laplacian of
(a). (c) Sharpened image obtained by adding (a) and
(b). (d) Sobel of
(a).



e f g h

(Continued) (e) Sobel image smoothed with a 5×5 averaging filter. (f) Mask image formed by the product of (c) and (e). (g) Sharpened image obtained by the sum of (a) and (f). (h) Final result obtained by applying a power-law transformation to (g). Compare (g) and (h) with (a). (Original image courtesy of G.E. Medical Systems.)

Example 2

• Arithmetic Operations

 Write a computer program capable of performing the four arithmetic operations between two images. This project is generic, in the sense that it will be used in other projects to follow. In addition to multiplying two images, your multiplication function must be able to handle multiplication of an image by a constant.