Chapter 11

Component-Level Design

- Introduction
- The software component
- Designing class-based components
- Designing conventional components

Introduction

Background

- Component-level design occurs after the first iteration of the architectural design
- It strives to create a <u>design model</u> from the analysis and architectural models
 - The translation can open the door to <u>subtle errors</u> that are difficult to find and correct later
 - "Effective programmers <u>should not waste their time</u> debugging they should not introduce bugs to start with." Edsgar Dijkstra
- A component-level design can be represented using some <u>intermediate</u> <u>representation</u> (e.g. graphical, tabular, or text-based) that can be translated into source code
- The design of data structures, interfaces, and algorithms should conform to well-established <u>guidelines</u> to help us avoid the introduction of errors

The Software Component

Defined

- A software component is a <u>modular</u> building block for computer software
 - It is a modular, deployable, and replaceable part of a system that encapsulates implementation and exposes a set of interfaces
- A component communicates and collaborates with
 - Other components
 - Entities outside the boundaries of the system
- Three different views of a component
 - An object-oriented view
 - A <u>conventional</u> view
 - A process-related view

Object-oriented View

- A component is viewed as a set of one or more <u>collaborating classes</u>
- Each problem domain (i.e., analysis) class and infrastructure (i.e., design) class is elaborated to identify all attributes and operations that apply to its implementation
 - This also involves defining the interfaces that enable classes to communicate and collaborate
- This elaboration activity is applied to every component defined as part of the architectural design
- Once this is completed, the following steps are performed
 - 1) Provide further <u>elaboration</u> of each attribute, operation, and interface
 - 2) Specify the <u>data structure</u> appropriate for each attribute
 - 3) Design the <u>algorithmic detail</u> required to implement the processing logic associated with each operation
 - 4) Design the mechanisms required to implement the <u>interface</u> to include the messaging that occurs between objects

Conventional View

- A component is viewed as a <u>functional</u> element (i.e., a module) of a program that incorporates
 - The processing logic
 - The internal <u>data structures</u> that are required to implement the processing logic
 - An <u>interface</u> that enables the component to be invoked and data to be passed to it
- A component serves one of the following roles
 - A <u>control</u> component that coordinates the invocation of all other problem domain components
 - A <u>problem domain</u> component that implements a complete or partial function that is required by the customer
 - An <u>infrastructure</u> component that is responsible for functions that support the processing required in the problem domain

Conventional View (continued)

- Conventional software components are derived from the data flow diagrams (DFDs) in the analysis model
 - Each transform bubble (i.e., module) represented at the lowest levels of the DFD is mapped into a module hierarchy
 - Control components reside near the top
 - Problem domain components and infrastructure components migrate toward the bottom
 - Functional independence is strived for between the transforms
- Once this is completed, the following steps are performed for each transform
 - 1) Define the <u>interface</u> for the transform (the order, number and types of the parameters)
 - 2) Define the <u>data structures</u> used internally by the transform
 - 3) Design the <u>algorithm</u> used by the transform (using a stepwise refinement approach)

Process-related View

- Emphasis is placed on building systems from <u>existing components</u> maintained in a library rather than creating each component from scratch
- As the software architecture is formulated, components are selected from the library and used to populate the architecture
- Because the components in the library have been created with reuse in mind, each contains the following:
 - A complete description of their <u>interface</u>
 - The <u>functions</u> they perform
 - The communication and collaboration they require

Designing Class-Based Components

Component-level Design Principles

Open-closed principle

- A module or component should be <u>open</u> for extension but <u>closed</u> for modification
- The designer should specify the component in a way that allows it to be <u>extended</u> without the need to make internal code or design <u>modifications</u> to the existing parts of the component

Liskov substitution principle

- Subclasses should be <u>substitutable</u> for their base classes
- A component that uses a base class should continue to <u>function properly</u> if a subclass of the base class is passed to the component instead

• Dependency inversion principle

- Depend on <u>abstractions</u> (i.e., interfaces); do not depend on <u>concretions</u>
- The more a component depends on other concrete components (rather than on the interfaces) the more difficult it will be to extend

• Interface segregation principle

- <u>Many</u> client-specific <u>interfaces</u> are better than one general purpose interface
- For a server class, <u>specialized interfaces</u> should be created to serve major categories of clients
- Only those operations that are <u>relevant</u> to a particular category of clients should be <u>specified</u> in the interface

Component Packaging Principles

- Release reuse equivalency principle
 - The granularity of reuse is the granularity of <u>release</u>
 - Group the reusable classes into packages that can be managed, upgraded, and controlled as newer versions are created
- Common closure principle
 - Classes that <u>change</u> together <u>belong</u> together
 - Classes should be packaged <u>cohesively</u>; they should address the same functional or behavioral area on the assumption that if one class experiences a change then they all will experience a change
- Common reuse principle
 - Classes that aren't <u>reused</u> together should not be <u>grouped</u> together
 - Classes that are grouped together may go through <u>unnecessary</u> integration and testing when they have experienced <u>no changes</u> but when other classes in the package have been upgraded

Component-Level Design Guidelines

- Components
 - Establish <u>naming conventions</u> for components that are specified as part of the architectural model and then refined and elaborated as part of the component-level model
 - Obtain <u>architectural</u> component names from the <u>problem domain</u> and ensure that they have meaning to all stakeholders who view the architectural model (e.g., Calculator)
 - Use <u>infrastructure</u> component names that reflect their <u>implementation</u>-<u>specific</u> meaning (e.g., Stack)
- Dependencies and inheritance in UML
 - Model any dependencies from <u>left to right</u> and inheritance from <u>top</u> (base class) <u>to bottom</u> (derived classes)
 - Consider modeling any component dependencies as <u>interfaces</u> rather than representing them as a direct component-to-component dependency

Cohesion

- Cohesion is the "single-mindedness' of a component
- It implies that a component or class encapsulates only attributes and operations that are <u>closely related</u> to one another and to the class or component itself
- The objective is to keep cohesion as <u>high</u> as possible
- The kinds of cohesion can be ranked in order from highest (best) to lowest (worst)
 - Functional
 - A module performs one and only one computation and then returns a result
 - Layer
 - A higher layer component accesses the services of a lower layer component
 - Communicational
 - All operations that access the same data are defined within one class

Cohesion (continued)

- Kinds of cohesion (continued)
 - Sequential
 - Components or operations are grouped in a manner that allows the first to provide input to the next and so on in order to implement a sequence of operations
 - Procedural
 - Components or operations are grouped in a manner that allows one to be invoked immediately after the preceding one was invoked, even when no data passed between them
 - Temporal
 - Operations are grouped to perform a specific behavior or establish a certain state such as program start-up or when an error is detected
 - Utility
 - Components, classes, or operations are grouped within the same category because of similar general functions but are otherwise unrelated to each other

Coupling

- As the amount of communication and collaboration increases between operations and classes, the complexity of the computer-based system also increases
- As complexity rises, the difficulty of implementing, testing, and maintaining software also increases
- Coupling is a qualitative measure of the degree to which operations and classes are <u>connected</u> to one another
- The objective is to keep coupling as <u>low</u> as possible

Coupling (continued)

- The kinds of coupling can be ranked in order from lowest (best) to highest (worst)
 - Data coupling
 - Operation A() passes one or more <u>atomic</u> data operands to operation B(); the less the number of operands, the lower the level of coupling
 - Stamp coupling
 - A whole data structure or class instantiation is passed as a parameter to an operation
 - Control coupling
 - Operation A() invokes operation B() and passes a control flag to B that directs logical flow within B()
 - Consequently, a change in B() can require a change to be made to the meaning of the control flag passed by A(), otherwise an error may result
 - Common coupling
 - A number of components all make use of a <u>global variable</u>, which can lead to uncontrolled error propagation and unforeseen side effects
 - Content coupling
 - One component secretly modifies data that is stored internally in another component

Coupling (continued)

- Other kinds of coupling (unranked)
 - Subroutine call coupling
 - When one operation is invoked it invokes another operation within side of it
 - Type use coupling
 - Component A uses a data type defined in component B, such as for an instance variable or a local variable declaration
 - If/when the type definition changes, every component that declares a variable of that data type must also change
 - Inclusion or import coupling
 - Component A imports or includes the contents of component B
 - External coupling
 - A component communicates or collaborates with infrastructure components that are entities external to the software (e.g., operating system functions, database functions, networking functions)

Conducting Component-Level Design

- 1) Identify all design classes that correspond to the <u>problem</u> domain as defined in the analysis model and architectural model
- 2) Identify all design classes that correspond to the <u>infrastructure</u> domain
 - These classes are usually not present in the analysis or architectural models
 - These classes include GUI components, operating system components, data management components, networking components, etc.
- 3) Elaborate all design classes that are not acquired as reusable components
 - a) Specify message details (i.e., structure) when classes or components collaborate
 - b) Identify appropriate interfaces (e.g., abstract classes) for each component
 - c) Elaborate attributes and define data types and data structures required to implement them (usually in the planned implementation language)
 - d) Describe processing flow within each operation in detail by means of pseudocode or UML activity diagrams

Conducting Component-Level Design (continued)

- 4) Describe persistent data sources (databases and files) and identify the classes required to manage them
- 5) Develop and elaborate behavioral representations for a class or component
 - This can be done by elaborating the UML state diagrams created for the analysis model and by examining all use cases that are relevant to the design class
- 6) Elaborate deployment diagrams to provide additional implementation detail
 - Illustrate the location of key packages or classes of components in a system by using class instances and designating specific hardware and operating system environments
- 7) Factor every component-level design representation and always consider alternatives
 - Experienced designers consider all (or most) of the alternative design solutions before settling on the final design model
 - The final decision can be made by using established design principles and guidelines

Designing Conventional Components

Introduction

- Conventional design constructs emphasize the maintainability of a <u>functional/procedural program</u>
 - Sequence, condition, and repetition
- Each construct has a <u>predictable</u> logical structure where control enters at the top and exits at the bottom, enabling a maintainer to easily follow the procedural flow
- Various notations depict the use of these constructs
 - Graphical design notation
 - Sequence, if-then-else, selection, repetition (see next slide)
 - Tabular design notation (see upcoming slide)
 - Program design language
 - Similar to a programming language; however, it uses narrative text embedded directly within the program statements

Graphical Design Notation





Sequence

If-then-else





Repetition

Graphical Example used for Algorithm Analysis

```
1
    int functionZ(int y)
 2
 3
    int x = 0;
    while (x \le (y * y))
 4
 5
       if ((x % 11 == 0) &&
 6
           (x % y == 0))
 7
 8
 9
          printf("%d", x);
10
          x++;
11
          } // End if
12
       else if ((x % 7 == 0) ||
13
                 (x % v == 1))
14
15
          printf("%d", y);
16
          x = x + 2;
17
          } // End else
18
      printf("\n");
19
       } // End while
    printf("End of list\n");
20
21
    return 0;
22
    } // End functionZ
```



Tabular Design Notation

- 1) List all <u>actions</u> that can be associated with a specific procedure (or module)
- 2) List all <u>conditions</u> (or decisions made) during execution of the procedure
- 3) <u>Associate</u> specific sets of conditions with specific actions, eliminating impossible combinations of conditions; alternatively, develop every possible permutation of conditions
- 4) Define <u>rules</u> by indicating what action(s) occurs for a set of conditions

Tabular Design Notation (continued)

Rules

Conditions	1	2	3	4
Condition A	Т	Т		F
Condition B		F	Т	
Condition C	Т			Т
Actions				
Action X	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Action Y				\checkmark
Action Z	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark