Algorithms

Administrative

- Reminder: homework 3 due today
- Reminder: Exam 1 Wednesday, Feb 13
 - 1 8.5x11 crib sheet allowed
 - Both sides, mechanical reproduction okay
 - You will turn it in with the exam

Review Of Topics

- Asymptotic notation
- Solving recurrences
- Sorting algorithms
 - Insertion sort
 - Merge sort
 - Heap sort
 - Quick sort
 - Counting sort
 - Radix sort

- Medians/order statistics
 - Randomized algorithm
 - Worst-case algorithm
- Structures for dynamic sets
 - Priority queues
 - BST basics

Review: Induction

- Suppose
 - S(k) is true for fixed constant k
 - \circ Often k = 0
 - $S(n) \rightarrow S(n+1)$ for all $n \ge k$
- Then S(n) is true for all n >= k

Proof By Induction

- Claim:S(n) is true for all n >= k
- Basis:
 - Show formula is true when n = k
- Inductive hypothesis:
 - Assume formula is true for an arbitrary n

• Step:

■ Show that formula is then true for n+1

Induction Example: Gaussian Closed Form

• Prove $1 + 2 + 3 + \ldots + n = n(n+1) / 2$

Basis:

• If n = 0, then 0 = 0(0+1) / 2

Inductive hypothesis:

• Assume 1 + 2 + 3 + ... + n = n(n+1) / 2

■ Step (show true for n+1):

 $1 + 2 + \ldots + n + n + 1 = (1 + 2 + \ldots + n) + (n+1)$

= n(n+1)/2 + n+1 = [n(n+1) + 2(n+1)]/2

= (n+1)(n+2)/2 = (n+1)(n+1+1)/2

Induction Example: Geometric Closed Form

- Prove $a^0 + a^1 + \dots + a^n = (a^{n+1} 1)/(a 1)$ for all $a \neq 1$
 - Basis: show that $a^0 = (a^{0+1} 1)/(a 1)$ $a^0 = 1 = (a^1 - 1)/(a - 1)$
 - Inductive hypothesis:
 - Assume $a^0 + a^1 + \ldots + a^n = (a^{n+1} 1)/(a 1)$

• Step (show true for n+1):

$$a^{0} + a^{1} + \dots + a^{n+1} = a^{0} + a^{1} + \dots + a^{n} + a^{n+1}$$

 $= (a^{n+1} - 1)/(a - 1) + a^{n+1} = (a^{n+1+1} - 1)/(a - 1)$

Review: Asymptotic Performance

- *Asymptotic performance*: How does algorithm behave as the problem size gets very large?
 - Running time
 - Memory/storage requirements
 - Use the RAM model:
 - All memory equally expensive to access
 - No concurrent operations
 - All reasonable instructions take unit time
 - Except, of course, function calls
 - Constant word size

Review: Running Time

- Number of primitive steps that are executed
 - Except for time of executing a function call most statements roughly require the same amount of time
 - We can be more exact if need be
- Worst case vs. average case

Review: Asymptotic Notation

- Upper Bound Notation:
 - f(n) is O(g(n)) if there exist positive constants cand n_0 such that $f(n) \le c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$
 - Formally, $O(g(n)) = \{ f(n): \exists positive constants c and n_0 such that f(n) \le c \cdot g(n) \forall n \ge n_0 \}$
- Big O fact:
 - A polynomial of degree k is $O(n^k)$

Review: Asymptotic Notation

- Asymptotic lower bound:
 - f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$ if \exists positive constants *c* and n_0 such that $0 \le c \cdot g(n) \le f(n) \forall n \ge n_0$
- Asymptotic tight bound:
 - f(n) is $\Theta(g(n))$ if \exists positive constants c_1, c_2 , and n_0 such that $c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 g(n) \forall n \ge n_0$
 - $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if f(n) = O(g(n)) AND $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Review: Other Asymptotic Notations

- A function f(n) is o(g(n)) if \exists positive constants *c* and n_0 such that $f(n) < c g(n) \forall n \ge n_0$
- A function f(n) is $\omega(g(n))$ if \exists positive constants *c* and n_0 such that $c g(n) < f(n) \forall n \ge n_0$
- Intuitively,
 - o() is like <
- ω () is like >
- Θ () is like =
- O() is like \leq Ω () is like \geq

Review: Merge Sort

```
MergeSort(A, left, right) {
  if (left < right) {</pre>
      mid = floor((left + right) / 2);
      MergeSort(A, left, mid);
      MergeSort(A, mid+1, right);
      Merge(A, left, mid, right);
  }
}
// Merge() takes two sorted subarrays of A and
// merges them into a single sorted subarray of A.
// Code for this is in the book. It requires O(n)
// time, and *does* require allocating O(n) space
```

Review: Analysis of Merge Sort

Statement	Effort
MergeSort(A, left, right) {	T(n)
if (left < right) {	Θ (1)
<pre>mid = floor((left + right) / 2);</pre>	Θ(1)
<pre>MergeSort(A, left, mid);</pre>	T(n/2)
<pre>MergeSort(A, mid+1, right);</pre>	T(n/2)
Merge(A, left, mid, right);	Θ(n)
}	
So $T(n) = \Theta(1)$ when $n = 1$ and	

- So $T(n) = \Theta(1)$ when n = 1, and $2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$ when n > 1
- This expression is a *recurrence*

Review: Solving Recurrences

- Substitution method
- Iteration method
- Master method

Review: Solving Recurrences

- The substitution method
 - A.k.a. the "making a good guess method"
 - Guess the form of the answer, then use induction to find the constants and show that solution works
 - Example: merge sort
 - $\circ T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$
 - \circ We guess that the answer is O(n lg n)
 - Prove it by induction

• Can similarly show $T(n) = \Omega(n \lg n)$, thus $\Theta(n \lg n)$

Review: Solving Recurrences

- The "iteration method"
 - Expand the recurrence
 - Work some algebra to express as a summation
 - Evaluate the summation
- We showed several examples including complex ones:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & n = 1\\ aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + cn & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Review: The Master Theorem

- Given: a *divide and conquer* algorithm
 - An algorithm that divides the problem of size n into a subproblems, each of size n/b
 - Let the cost of each stage (i.e., the work to divide the problem + combine solved subproblems) be described by the function f(n)
- Then, the Master Theorem gives us a cookbook for the algorithm's running time:

Review: The Master Theorem

• if T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\log_{b} a}) & f(n) = O(n^{\log_{b} a - \varepsilon}) \\ \Theta(n^{\log_{b} a} \log n) & f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_{b} a}) \\ \Theta(f(n)) & f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_{b} a + \varepsilon}) \text{AND} \\ af(n/b) < cf(n) & \text{for large } n \end{cases} \begin{cases} \varepsilon > 0 \\ c < 1 \end{cases}$$

Review: Heaps

• A *heap* is a "complete" binary tree, usually represented as an array:



Review: Heaps

To represent a heap as an array:
Parent(i) { return [i/2]; }
Left(i) { return 2*i; }
right(i) { return 2*i + 1; }

Review: The Heap Property

- Heaps also satisfy the *heap property*:
 - $A[Parent(i)] \ge A[i]$ for all nodes i > 1
 - In other words, the value of a node is at most the value of its parent
 - The largest value is thus stored at the root (A[1])
- Because the heap is a binary tree, the height of any node is at most Θ(lg n)

Review: Heapify()

- **Heapify()**: maintain the heap property
 - Given: a node i in the heap with children l and r
 - Given: two subtrees rooted at *l* and *r*, assumed to be heaps
 - Action: let the value of the parent node "float down" so subtree at *i* satisfies the heap property
 - If A[i] < A[1] or A[i] < A[r], swap A[i] with the largest of A[1] and A[r]
 - Recurse on that subtree
 - Running time: O(h), h = height of heap = O(lg n)

Review: BuildHeap()

- We can build a heap in a bottom-up manner by running **Heapify()** on successive subarrays
 - Fact: for array of length *n*, all elements in range $A[\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 ... n]$ are heaps (*Why?*)
 - So:
 - Walk backwards through the array from n/2 to 1, calling **Heapify()** on each node.
 - Order of processing guarantees that the children of node *i* are heaps when *i* is processed

Review: BuildHeap()

```
// given an unsorted array A, make A a heap
BuildHeap(A)
```

```
heap_size(A) = length(A);
for (i = [length[A]/2] downto 1)
Heapify(A, i);
```

{

}

Review: Priority Queues

- Heapsort is a nice algorithm, but in practice Quicksort (coming up) usually wins
- But the heap data structure is incredibly useful for implementing *priority queues*
 - A data structure for maintaining a set *S* of elements, each with an associated value or *key*
 - Supports the operations Insert(),
 Maximum(), and ExtractMax()
 - What might a priority queue be useful for?

Review: Priority Queue Operations

- Insert(S, x) inserts the element x into set S
- Maximum(S) returns the element of S with the maximum key
- ExtractMax(S) removes and returns the element of S with the maximum key

Review: Implementing Priority Queues

```
HeapInsert(A, key) // what's running time?
{
    heap size[A] ++;
    i = heap size[A];
    while (i > 1 AND A[Parent(i)] < key)</pre>
    {
        A[i] = A[Parent(i)];
        i = Parent(i);
    }
    A[i] = key;
```

Review: Implementing Priority Queues

```
HeapExtractMax(A)
    if (heap size[A] < 1) { error; }</pre>
    \max = A[1];
    A[1] = A[heap size[A]]
    heap size[A] --;
    Heapify(A, 1);
    return max;
```

{

}

Review: Quicksort

- Another divide-and-conquer algorithm
 - The array A[p..r] is *partitioned* into two nonempty subarrays A[p..q] and A[q+1..r]
 - Invariant: All elements in A[p..q] are less than all elements in A[q+1..r]
 - The subarrays are recursively sorted by calls to quicksort
 - Unlike merge sort, no combining step: two subarrays form an already-sorted array

Review: Quicksort Code

```
Quicksort(A, p, r)
{
    if (p < r)
    {
        q = Partition(A, p, r);
        Quicksort(A, p, q);
        Quicksort(A, q+1, r);
    }
```

Review: Partition

- Clearly, all the action takes place in the **partition()** function
 - Rearranges the subarray in place
 - End result:
 - Two subarrays
 - All values in first subarray \leq all values in second
 - Returns the index of the "pivot" element separating the two subarrays

Review: Partition In Words

- Partition(A, p, r):
 - Select an element to act as the "pivot" (which?)
 - Grow two regions, A[p..i] and A[j..r]
 - All elements in A[p..i] <= pivot
 - All elements in A[j..r] >= pivot
- → Increment i until A[i] >= pivot
 - Decrement j until A[j] <= pivot</p>
 - Swap A[i] and A[j]
 - Repeat until i >= j
 - Return j

Note: slightly different from old book's partition(), very different from new book

Review: Analyzing Quicksort

- What will be the worst case for the algorithm?
 - Partition is always unbalanced
- What will be the best case for the algorithm?
 Partition is balanced
- Which is more likely?
 - The latter, by far, except...
- Will any particular input elicit the worst case?
 - Yes: Already-sorted input

Review: Analyzing Quicksort

• In the worst case:

 $T(1) = \Theta(1)$ $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$

- Works out to
 - $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

Review: Analyzing Quicksort

• In the best case:

 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$

• What does this work out to?

 $T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$

Review: Analyzing Quicksort (Average Case)

- Intuitively, the O(n) cost of a bad split (or 2 or 3 bad splits) can be absorbed into the O(n) cost of each good split
- Thus running time of alternating bad and good splits is still O(n lg n), with slightly higher constants
- We can be more rigorous...

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- So partition generates splits

 (0:n-1, 1:n-2, 2:n-3, ..., n-2:1, n-1:0)
 each with probability 1/n
- If T(n) is the expected running time,

$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[T(k) + T(n-1-k) \right] + \Theta(n)$$

• What is each term under the summation for?

• What is the $\Theta(n)$ term for?

Analyzing Quicksort: Average Case

- So partition generates splits

 (0:n-1, 1:n-2, 2:n-3, ..., n-2:1, n-1:0)
 each with probability 1/n
- If T(n) is the expected running time,

$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[T(k) + T(n-1-k) \right] + \Theta(n)$$

• What are terms under the summation for? the $\Theta(n)$?

• Massive proof that you should look over

- Insertion sort:
 - Easy to code
 - Fast on small inputs (less than ~50 elements)
 - Fast on nearly-sorted inputs
 - O(n²) worst case
 - O(n²) average (equally-likely inputs) case
 - O(n²) reverse-sorted case

- Merge sort:
 - Divide-and-conquer:
 - Split array in half
 - Recursively sort subarrays
 - Linear-time merge step
 - O(n lg n) worst case
 - Doesn't sort in place

- Heap sort:
 - Uses the very useful heap data structure
 - Complete binary tree
 - Heap property: parent key > children's keys
 - O(n lg n) worst case
 - Sorts in place
 - Fair amount of shuffling memory around

- Quick sort:
 - Divide-and-conquer:
 - Partition array into two subarrays, recursively sort
 - All of first subarray < all of second subarray
 - No merge step needed!
 - O(n lg n) average case
 - Fast in practice
 - O(n²) worst case
 - Naïve implementation: worst case on sorted input
 - Address this with randomized quicksort

Review: Comparison Sorts

- Comparison sorts: O(n lg n) at best
 - Model sort with decision tree
 - Path down tree = execution trace of algorithm
 - Leaves of tree = possible permutations of input
 - Tree must have n! leaves, so O(n lg n) height

Review: Counting Sort

- Counting sort:
 - Assumption: input is in the range 1..k
 - Basic idea:
 - Count number of elements $k \leq$ each element *i*
 - \circ Use that number to place *i* in position *k* of sorted array
 - No comparisons! Runs in time O(n + k)
 - Stable sort
 - Does not sort in place:
 - \circ O(n) array to hold sorted output
 - O(k) array for scratch storage

Review: Counting Sort

1	CountingSort(A, B, k)
2	for i=1 to k
3	C[i] = 0;
4	for j=1 to n
5	C[A[j]] += 1;
6	for i=2 to k
7	C[i] = C[i] + C[i-1];
8	for j=n downto 1
9	B[C[A[j]]] = A[j];
10	C[A[j]] -= 1;

Review: Radix Sort

- Radix sort:
 - Assumption: input has d digits ranging from 0 to k
 Basic idea:
 - Sort elements by digit starting with *least* significant
 - Use a stable sort (like counting sort) for each stage
 - Each pass over *n* numbers with *d* digits takes time O(n+k), so total time O(dn+dk)
 - When *d* is constant and k=O(n), takes O(n) time
 - Fast! Stable! Simple!
 - Doesn't sort in place

Review: Bucket Sort

- Bucket sort
 - Assumption: input is *n* reals from [0, 1)
 - Basic idea:
 - Create *n* linked lists (*buckets*) to divide interval [0,1) into subintervals of size 1/*n*
 - Add each input element to appropriate bucket and sort buckets with insertion sort
 - Uniform input distribution \rightarrow O(1) bucket size

 \circ Therefore the expected total time is O(n)

These ideas will return when we study *hash tables*

Review: Order Statistics

- The *i*th *order statistic* in a set of *n* elements is the *i*th smallest element
- The *minimum* is thus the 1st order statistic
- The *maximum* is (duh) the *n*th order statistic
- The *median* is the n/2 order statistic
 - If *n* is even, there are 2 medians
- Could calculate order statistics by sorting
 - Time: O(n lg n) w/ comparison sort
 - We can do better

Review: The Selection Problem

- The *selection problem*: find the *i*th smallest element of a set
- Two algorithms:
 - A practical randomized algorithm with O(n) expected running time
 - A cool algorithm of theoretical interest only with O(n) worst-case running time

Review: Randomized Selection

- Key idea: use partition() from quicksort
 - But, only need to examine one subarray
 - This savings shows up in running time: O(n)

$\leq A[q]$		$\geq A[q]$	
р	q		r

Review: Randomized Selection

RandomizedSelect(A, p, r, i)

return RandomizedSelect(A, q+1, r, i-k);

	k			
	$\leq A[q]$		$\geq A[q]$	
р		q		r

Review: Randomized Selection

- Average case
 - For upper bound, assume *i*th element always falls in larger side of partition:

$$T(n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(\max(k, n-k-1)) + \Theta(n)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=n/2}^{n-1} T(k) + \Theta(n)$$

• We then showed that T(n) = O(n) by substitution

- Randomized algorithm works well in practice
- What follows is a worst-case linear time algorithm, really of theoretical interest only
- Basic idea:
 - Generate a good partitioning element
 - Call this element *x*

- The algorithm in words:
 - 1. Divide *n* elements into groups of 5
 - 2. Find median of each group (*How? How long?*)
 - 3. Use Select() recursively to find median x of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ medians
 - 4. Partition the *n* elements around *x*. Let $k = \operatorname{rank}(x)$
 - 5. **if** (i == k) **then** return x
 - if (i < k) then use Select() recursively to find ith smallest
 element in first partition</pre>
 - else (i > k) use Select() recursively to find (i-k)th smallest
 element in last partition

- (Sketch situation on the board)
- How many of the 5-element medians are ≤x?
 At least 1/2 of the medians = [[n/5]/2] = [n/10]
- *How many elements are* $\leq x$?
 - At least $3 \lfloor n/10 \rfloor$ elements
- For large n, $3 \lfloor n/10 \rfloor \ge n/4$ (*How large?*)
- So at least n/4 elements $\leq x$
- Similarly: at least n/4 elements $\ge x$

- Thus after partitioning around *x*, step 5 will call Select() on at most 3*n*/4 elements
- The recurrence is therefore: $T(n) \le T(|n/5|) + T(3n/4) + \Theta(n)$ $\leq T(n/5) + T(3n/4) + \Theta(n)$ $|n/5| \le n/5$ $\leq cn/5 + 3cn/4 + \Theta(n)$ Substitute T(n) = cn $= 19cn/20 + \Theta(n)$ **Combine fractions** $= cn - (cn/20 - \Theta(n))$ Express in desired form $\leq cn$ if c is big enough What we set out to prove

Review: Binary Search Trees

- *Binary Search Trees* (BSTs) are an important data structure for dynamic sets
- In addition to satellite data, elements have:
 - *key*: an identifying field inducing a total ordering
 - left: pointer to a left child (may be NULL)
 - *right*: pointer to a right child (may be NULL)
 - *p*: pointer to a parent node (NULL for root)

Review: Binary Search Trees

- BST property: key[left(x)] ≤ key[x] ≤ key[right(x)]
- Example:



Review: Inorder Tree Walk

- An *inorder walk* prints the set in sorted order: TreeWalk(x) TreeWalk(left[x]); print(x); TreeWalk(right[x]);
 - Easy to show by induction on the BST property
 - *Preorder tree walk*: print root, then left, then right
 - *Postorder tree walk*: print left, then right, then root