Why software components?

- 1. Ease design and development
- 2. Tuning to environment
- 3. Customization to user app
- 4. Extensibility

•[•[•

5. Verification and robustness





Why not?

- Configuration is hard
- Performance is bad
 - Abstraction barriers
 - Poor locality
 - Redundant code





- Not reliable
- Not faster

Group Communication





Specification





Abstract IOA specification of totally ordered multicast

S: array[integer] of message next: integer deliv: array[process] of integer

global state

action Multicast(m) { S[next++] := m; }

action Deliver(p, m) precond: deliv[p] < next && m == S[deliv[p]] { deliv[p]++; }

Layer correctness



Stack correctness



Efficiency?

- Ensemble stacks have many layers, improving clarity, but inefficient.
- 5 optimization techniques:
 - 1. Avoiding (in-line) garbage collection
 - 2. Avoiding marshaling
 - 3. Delaying non-critical message processing
 - 4. Identifying common paths
 - 5. Header compression

A protocol layer is a function!



(off-line) partial evaluation original code NuPrl Specialized layer code Common Case Predicate Hacker + Formal person



Header compaction





Architecture



Performance

- Three different versions:
 - 1. Original (ORIG)
 - 2. Hand-optimized (HAND)
 - 3. Machine-optimized (MACH)
- 300 MHz UltraSparc/Solaris 2.6
- OCaml 2.0 native code compiler

Code latency (µsec)



(See paper for CPU cycles and TLB misses)

Lessons learned

- 1. Design with formalization in mind
- 2. Use small, but not too small components
- 3. Use a language with formal semantics
- 4. Use IOA as a specification language
- 5. Use formal tool with in-house expertise

Final remarks

- See CD or Web for code samples, links to all code, as well as how to reproduce our results
- Still working on a machine-generated proof of correctness

