## THE DESIGN CORE



## PLANT LOCATION

| Criterion | Weighting | Possible Factory Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | E |  |
| 낒 ${ }^{\text {Skilled labour }}$ | 7 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 28 |
| $\times$ A pool of unskilled labour | 8 | 5 | 40 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 2 | 16 |
| A motorway | 7 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 28 |
| ${ }_{-1}$ An airport | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| $\bigcirc$ The sea / a river | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Housing | 5 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 20 |
| Amenities | 5 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 15 |
| Potential for expansion | 7 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 35 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 14 |
| Availability of grants/incentives | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 40 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 24 |
| Safety | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Planning constraints | 5 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 10 |
| Environmental impact | 4 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
|  | TOTAL |  | 164 |  | 138 |  | 149 |  | 150 |  | 175 |

## 'FUNCTIONAL' PLANT LAYOUT

- Common for a large variety of products in batch volumes.
- Similar processes are grouped together.
- Inefficient: Long material transport routes from dept. to dept. Work in progress is high. Tracking of orders can be difficult.
- Advantages: Specialist labour and supervision. Flexibility as material can be rerouted in any sequence.



## ‘PRODUCT' PLANT LAYOUT

- Mass production where variety is small and production volumes are very high.
- AKA 'flow' or 'line' layout.
- More efficient, but less flexible than 'functional' layout.
- Work in progress is minimised, and jobs are easily tracked.
- Investment in specialised capital equipment is high, so a reliable and steady demand is required.
- Very sensitive to machine breakdown or disruption to material supply.



## 'CELLULAR' PLANT LAYOUT

- AKA ‘Group Technology’
- Each cell manufactures products belonging to a single family.
- Cells are autonomous manufacturing units which can produce finished parts.
- Commonly applied to machined parts.
- Often single operators supervising CNC machines in a cell, with robots for materials handling.
- Productivity and quality maximised. Throughput times and work in progress kept to a minimum.
- Flexible.
- Suited to products in batches and where design changes often occur.



## DESIGNING A ‘CELLULAR' LAYOUT

A company produces 16 specialist tools.
The company is planning to relocate and reorganise production with a 'cellular' layout, with cells containing 5-7 machines each.
Production involves 10 types of machine: L1, L2, L3, D1, D2, M1, M2, G1, G2, F. 4 machines of each type are used, except D2 of which there is only 1.

| Part | Route | Part | Route |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{~F}$ | 9 | $\mathrm{~L} 3, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 2$ |  |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{~F}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{~L} 3, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{~F}$ |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{G} 1$ | 11 | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{~F}$ |
| First draw up a table of part |  |  |  |  |
| routes. | 4 | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{G} 1$ | 12 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{G} 1$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{G} 2$ | 13 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 3, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{~F}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{G} 1$ | 14 | $\mathrm{~L} 3, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{~F}$ |  |
|  | 7 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{~F}$ | 15 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~L} 2, \mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2$ | 16 | $\mathrm{~L} 1, \mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{~F}$ |  |

## DESIGNING A ‘CELLULAR' LAYOUT

Draw-up a machine-part incidence matrix

| Part | Machine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L3 | D1 | D2 | M1 | M2 | G1 | G2 | F |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 4 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 7 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 8 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 10 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 13 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 14 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 16 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |

'Proximity' between machines
$d_{p q}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{p j}-a_{q j}\right| \quad \begin{aligned} & a=1 \text { used } \\ & a=0 \text { not used }\end{aligned}$
e.g. $p=1, q=2$ then $d_{12}=3(L 2, G 1, G 2)$

Construct a dendrogram based on pairs of closely related machine routes


## DESIGNING A 'CELLULAR' LAYOUT



## OTHER PLANT LAYOUTS

## 'Fixed Position' Layout (right)

- Single large, high cost components or products.
- Product is static. Labour, tools and equipment come to the work rather than vice versa.


## 'Random' Layout

- Very inefficient
- Small factories, start-up companies.


## 'Process' Layout

- Process industries, e.g. steelmaking.
- The process determines layout.



## SINGLE MACHINE SCHEDULING

Shortest Processing Time (SPT)
Henry L. Gantt, Frankford Arsenal, USA
I.

II.


Average customer waiting time:
I. $(3+7+8) / 3=6 \mathrm{~h}$
II. $(2+4+8) / 3=4 \frac{2}{3} h$
$\Rightarrow$ Shortest jobs before longer jobs

Others

Minimise average processing time

WSPT Schedule in order of weighted shortest processing time

## Minimise average delay

EDD Schedule in order of earliest due date

WEDD Schedule in order of weighted earliest due date

## SCHEDULING OPERATIONS IN SERIES

| Sample: | A | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time to <br> prepare (h) | 6 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| Time to <br> photograph (h) | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 |



Johnson's Algorithm
Step 1. Find next job with the shortest processing time on either machine.
Step 2. If on 1st machine then schedule at next earliest position.
Step 3. If on $2 n d$ machine then schedule at next latest position.

## SCHEDULING MACHINES IN PARALLEL



Greedy heuristic: Biggest job first.
Schedule next longest job on next available machine

## CRITICAL PATH ANALYSIS

More complex scheduling involving operations in parallel and in series

| Operation | Time <br> Required <br> (h) | Predecessors | Earliest finish time of <br> predecessors | Earliest <br> Start |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3 | - | - | 0 |
| B | 3 | A | $3(\mathrm{~A})$ | 3 |
| C | 1 | - | - | 0 |
| D | 2 | C | $1(\mathrm{C})$ | 1 |
| E | 8 | A, D | $3(\mathrm{~A}), 1+2(\mathrm{D})$ | 3 |
| F | 4 | - | - | 0 |
| G | 1 | F | $4(\mathrm{~F})$ | 4 |
| H | 4 | - | - | 0 |
| I | 12 | C | $1(\mathrm{C})$ | 1 |
| J | 6 | B, E, G | $3+3 B, 3+8(\mathrm{E}), 4+1(\mathrm{G})$ | 11 |
| K | 4 | H, I, J | $4(\mathrm{H}), 1+12(\mathrm{I}), 11+6(\mathrm{~J})$ | 17 |

## CRITICAL PATH ANALYSIS



## MATERIALS REQUIREMENT PLANNING (MRP)

| Master |
| :---: |
| Production |
| Schedule |



## MASTER PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (MPS)

A production plan showing period by period anticipated production of finished items
N.B. It is not a sales forecast, though expected sales are a consideration

| Week | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product P65 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 41 | 42 |  |  |
| Planning period depends on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MPS is updated in a rolling fashion: adding one or more periods to the far end of the production schedule as well as updating the amounts to be produced

## MASTER PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (MPS)

Product P65
Current stock on hand $=$

| Week | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forecast <br> demand | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 41 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| MPS |  | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Orders <br> accepted |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available to <br> promise | 0 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

(Current stock + MPS) $-($ Total orders to next production run) $=$ Stock available to promise

$$
[10+10]-[8+8]=4
$$

## MASTER REQUIREMENTS PLANNING <br> (MRP)

Product P65
Planning
Current stock on hand $=10 \quad \leftarrow \underset{\text { period }}{ } \rightarrow$

| Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 5 | 37 | 38 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross <br> requirements | 10 | 20 | 10 |  | 0 |  |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled <br> receipts | 10 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net <br> requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ECONOMIC ORDER QUANTITY



Let
$C_{0}=$ Cost of placing an order,
$C_{H}=$ Cost (per year) of holding a single unit in stock
$D=$ Demand rate (no. units per year)
No. orders per year $=D / Q$
Total cost of ordering stock per year $=C_{O} D / Q$
Holding cost per year is $C_{H} \times Q / 2$ (average stock level)
Total cost per year, $\quad T=\frac{C_{0} D}{Q}+\frac{C_{H} Q}{2}$

For a given continuous demand rate, inventory can be controlled with large order sizes $Q$ ordered at low frequencies or small order sizes ordered at high frequencies. What is the optimum value of $Q=Q^{*}$ ?

To minimize cost set $\frac{\mathrm{d} T}{\mathrm{~d} Q}=-\frac{C_{0} D}{Q^{2}}+\frac{C_{H}}{2}=0$
So the economic order quantity, $Q^{*}=\left(\frac{2 C_{0} D}{C_{H}}\right)^{1 / 2}$

## ECONOMIC ORDER QUANTITY

## The flat minimum phenomenon



Demand is never truly predictable.
What happens if we get the value of $Q$ slightly wrong?

Suppose that instead of ordering $Q^{*}$, we order an amount $Q=Q^{*}(1+\delta)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\frac{C_{0} D}{Q^{*}(1+\delta)}+\frac{C_{H} Q^{*}(1+\delta)}{2} \\
& =\frac{C_{0} D}{(1+\delta)}\left(\frac{C_{H}}{2 D C_{o}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{C_{H}(1+\delta)}{2}\left(\frac{2 C_{0} D}{C_{H}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{D C_{0} C_{H}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\frac{1}{1+\delta}+1+\delta\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{D C_{0} C_{H}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\delta+\delta^{2}-\delta^{3} \ldots+1+\delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $T \approx\left(2 D C_{O} C_{H}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\delta^{2} / 2\right)$

Even if we over-order by $10 \%$, the cost of the stock is only increased by about $0.5 \%$.

## JUST IN TIME MANUFACTURE (JIT)

A system of organising manufacturing, the essence of which is to remove waste, particularly in the waste of time and resources associated with stocks held at different stages of the manufacturing process.

Reduction of batch sizes
Large batch sizes are costly to produce (large amounts of stock, long time to produce the whole batch before reaping a return). JIT philosophy is to reduce batch sizes towards unity.
Reduction of set-up times
Each batch is costly in set-up time. Achieving small batch sizes requires the strive towards reduced set-up times. Achieved with, e.g. reprogrammable equipment, quick change tooling, storage of tooling close to machine etc.
Reduction of buffer inventories
Materials, sub assemblies, part processed parts etc. in queues/storage are costly and inefficient. They cost money rather than make money.
Frequent deliveries and long term relationships with suppliers
Reduced batch sizes and buffer inventories require small, but frequent deliveries often on demand. Suppliers viewed as partners rather than adversaries.

## JUST IN TIME MANUFACTURE (JIT)

## Short lead times

Results in increased responsiveness to customer requirements and therefore increased competitiveness.

## Simple material flows and reduced floor space

'Product' or 'cellular' plant layouts are essential to ensure smooth flow of material through the factory. The 'functional' layout results in too much work in progress.

## Teamwork and a motivated workforce

The sensitivity of the system to down-time requires that problems are solved quickly, and by all concerned. Problem solving and continual improvement cannot be achieved without consultation and involvement of the workforce who are the people on the shop floor that know the operations best.

## Workers responsible for the quality of their own work

Workers on the shop floor should think of themselves as making parts rather than just operating a machine. 'Non-productive' costs such as education of the workforce should be viewed as necessary.

## Visibility of performance

Simple flow of material allows each member of the workforce to see how their work fits into the rest of the factory, and therefore allows rapid problem solving.

## MATERIAL CONTROL IN JIT: KANBAN

## Kanban

A card or docket that authorizes either processing of a part at a particular workstation, or movement of parts between workstations.

Nothing can be made without an authorizing kanban from the next process in line.
Kanban system ensures nothing is made that is not required, and everything is made just in time.
Kanbans specify the item, number to be used in each batch, stocking locations, the material required and where to find it.
Production-kanbans move with the parts as they are processed, with a different production-kanban for each operation.
Move-kanbans remain between one workstation and the next.
In both cases there is a well defined quantity of parts referred to that are kept in a container holding exactly that number.

## A KANBAN SYSTEM

Kanban authorizing production at workstation A

Kanban authorizing move from workstation A to workstation B


## THE KANBAN SYSTEM

The amount of inventory in the system is determined by the number of kanbans.

If demand stops suddenly then production continues until every kanban is attached to a full container. Represents the maximum amount of inventory possible.
If a reduction in inventory of the system is required then it is achieved by simply reducing the number of kanbans.
Kanban system is highly visible. No need for extensive computation to track requirements in the factory.

Only works well for repetitive production, and for a relatively stable level of demand.

Kanban is a 'pull system' where parts are only processed at one workstation when there is a requirement from the following workstation. In a 'push system' parts are continued to be processed at workstation A whether or not they are required at workstation B. If they are not required at B, e.g. due to machine failure, then parts processed at A queue up to be processed at B and inventory levels rise unnecessarily and control of inventory becomes difficult. However, in the kanban system if one workstation fails then authorization for movement to that workstation stops and the whole process comes to a halt. The system therefore demands strict discipline in maintenance.
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## SELL

That's another story!


