## STATE VARIABLE MODELS

We consider physical sytems described by nth-order ordinary differential equation. Utilizing a set of variables, known as state variables, we can obtain a set of first-order differential equations. We group these first-order equations using a compact matrix notation in a model known as the state variable model.

The time-domain state variable model lends itself readily to computer solution and analysis. The Laplace transform is utilized to transform the differential equations representing the system to an algebraic equation expressed in terms of the complex variable $s$. Utilizing this algebraic equation, we are able to obtain a transfer function representation of the input-output relationship.

With the ready availability of digital computers, it is convenient to consider the time-domain formulation of the equations representing control system. The time domain techniques can be utilized for nonlinear, time varying, and multivariable systems.

A time-varying control system is a system for which one or more of the parameters of the system may vary as a function of time.

For example, the mass of a missile varies as a function of time as the fuel is expended during flight. A multivariable system is a system with several input and output.

## The State Variables of a Dynamic System:

The time-domain analysis and design of control systems utilizes the concept of the state of a system.

The state of a system is a set of variables such that the knowledge of these variables and the input functions will, with the equations describing the dynamics, provide the future state and output of the system.

For a dynamic system, the state of a system is described in terms of a set of state variables

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) & \mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) & \ldots & \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})
\end{array}\right]
$$

The state variables are those variables that determine the future behavior of a system when the present state of the system and the excitation signals are known. Consider the system shown in Figure 1, where $y_{1}(t)$ and $y_{2}(t)$ are the output signals and $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ are the input signals. A set of state variables $\left[x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right]$ for the system shown in the figure is a set such that knowledge of the initial values of the state variables $\left[x_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) x_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \ldots x_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]$ at the initial time $t_{0}$, and of the input signals $u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ for $t>=t_{0}$, suffices to determine the future values of the outputs and state variables.


Figure 1. Dynamic system.

The state variables describe the future response of a system, given the present state, the excitation inputs, and the equations describing the dynamics.

A simple example of a state variable is the state of an on-off light switch. The switch can be in either the on or the off position, and thus the state of the switch can assume one of two possible values. Thus, if we know the present state (position) of the switch at $t_{0}$ and if an input is applied, we are able to determine the future value of the state of the element.

The concept of a set of state variables that represent a dynamic system can be illustrated in terms of the spring-mass-damper system shown in Figure 2. The number of state variables chosen to represent this system should be as small as possible in order to avoid redundant state variables. A set of state variables sufficient to describe this system includes the position and the velocity of the mass.


Figure 2. 1-dof system.

Therefore we will define a set of variables as [ $\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}$ ], where
$\mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}) \quad$ Kinetic and Potential energies, virtual work.
$\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{dy}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m} \dot{y}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{y}^{2}, \quad \delta W=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \delta \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{c} \dot{\mathrm{y}} \delta \mathrm{y}$
Lagrangian of the system is expressed as $L=\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{2} \quad$ Generalized Force
Lagrange's equation $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{2}\right)}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{y}}}\right)-\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{2}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}+\mathrm{c} \frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{kx}=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \mathrm{m} \frac{\mathrm{dx}_{2}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \text { Equation of motion in terms of state variables. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write the equations that describe the behavior of the spring-massdamper system as the set of two first-order differential equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{dx}_{1}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{x}_{2} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dx} \mathrm{x}_{2}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{x}_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

This set of difefrential equations describes the behavior of the state of the system in terms of the rate of change of each state variables.

As another example of the state variable characterization of a system, consider the RLC circuit shown in Figure 3.


The state of this system can be described in terms of a set of variables [ $x_{1} x_{2}$ ], where $x_{1}$ is the capacitor voltage $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $x_{2}$ is equal to the inductor current $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})$. This choice of state variables is intuitively satisfactory because the stored energy of the network can be described in terms of
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{C}}\left(\int \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{dt}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ these variables.

Therefore $\mathrm{x}_{1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)$ represent the total initial energy of the network and thus the state of the system at $t=\mathrm{t}_{0}$.

Utilizing Kirchhoff's current low at the junction, we obtain a first order differential equation by describing the rate of change of capacitor voltage

$$
\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{C} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Kirchhoff's voltage low for the right-hand loop provides the equation describing the rate of change of inducator current as

$$
\mathrm{L} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\mathrm{R} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

The output of the system is represented by the linear algebraic equation

$$
\mathrm{v}_{0}=\mathrm{R} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})
$$

We can write the equations as a set of two first order differential equations in terms of the state variables $\mathrm{x}_{1}\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{t})\right]$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}\left[\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})\right]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C} \frac{\mathrm{dv}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \\
& \mathrm{~L} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\mathrm{R} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}} \stackrel{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{dt}}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

The output signal is then $\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{R} \mathrm{x}_{2}$

Utilizing the first-order differential equations and the initial conditions of the network represented by $\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \mathrm{x}_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right]$, we can determine the system's future and its output.

The state variables that describe a system are not a unique set, and several alternative sets of state variables can be chosen. For the RLC circuit, we might choose the set of state variables as the two voltages, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t})$.

In an actual system, there are several choices of a set of state variables that specify the energy stored in a system and therefore adequately describe the dynamics of the system.

The state variables of a system characterize the dynamic behavior of a system. The engineer's interest is primarily in physical, where the variables are voltages, currents, velocities, positions, pressures, temperatures, and similar physical variables.

## The State Differential Equation:

The state of a system is described by the set of first-order differential equations written in terms of the state variables [ $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ ]. These firstorder differential equations can be written in general form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{1}=a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots a_{1 n} x_{n}+b_{11} u_{1}+\cdots b_{1 m} u_{m} \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots a_{2 n} x_{n}+b_{21} u_{1}+\cdots b_{2 m} u_{m} \\
& \vdots \\
& \dot{x}_{n}=a_{n 1} x_{1}+a_{n 2} x_{2}+\ldots a_{n n} x_{n}+b_{n 1} u_{1}+\cdots b_{n m} u_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, this set of simultaneous differential equations can be written in matrix form as follows:
$\frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1 m} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ b_{n 1} & \cdots & b_{n m}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{m}\end{array}\right]$
n : number of state variables, m : number of inputs.

The column matrix consisting of the state variables is called the state vector and is written as

$$
\mathrm{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{x}_{1} \\
\mathrm{x}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The vector of input signals is defined as $u$. Then the system can be represented by the compact notation of the state differential equation as

## $\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{Bu}$

This differential equation is also commonly called the state equation. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is an nxn square matrix, and $\mathbf{B}$ is an nxm matrix. The state differential equation relates the rate of change of the state of the system to the state of the system and the input signals. In general, the outputs of a linear system can be related to the state variables and the input signals by the output equation

$$
y=C x+D u
$$

Where $\mathbf{y}$ is the set of output signals expressed in column vector form. The state-space representation (or state-variable representation) is comprised of the state variable differential equation and the output equation.

We can write the state variable differential equation for the RLC circuit as

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} & -\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
0
\end{array}\right] u(\mathrm{t})
$$

and the output as

$$
y=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & R
\end{array}\right] x
$$

The solution of the state differential equation can be obtained in a manner similar to the approach we utilize for solving a first order differential equation. Consider the first-order differential equation

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{bu}
$$

Where $x(t)$ and $u(t)$ are scalar functions of time. We expect an exponential solution of the form $\mathrm{e}^{\text {at. }}$ Taking the Laplace transform of both sides, we have

$$
s X(s)-x_{0}=a X(s)+b U(s)
$$

therefore,

$$
\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{x}(0)}{\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{a}}+\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

The inverse Laplace transform of $X(s)$ results in the solution

$$
x(t)=e^{a t} x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{a(t-\tau)} b u(\tau) d \tau
$$

We expect the solution of the state differential equation to be similar to $x(t)$ and to be of differential form. The matrix exponential function is defined as

$$
e^{\mathrm{At}}=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{At}+\frac{\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}}{2!}+\cdots+\frac{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{k}!}+\cdots
$$

which converges for all finite $t$ and any $A$. Then the solution of the state differential equation is found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{At}} \mathrm{x}(0)+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t}-\tau)} \mathrm{Bu} u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~s})=[\mathrm{sI}-\mathrm{A}]^{-1} \mathrm{x}(0)+[\mathrm{SI}-\mathrm{A}]^{-1} \mathrm{~B} U(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

where we note that $[\mathrm{sl}-\mathrm{A}]^{-1}=\phi(\mathrm{s})$, which is the Laplace transform of $\phi(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\text {At }}$. The matrix exponential function $\phi(\mathrm{t})$ describes the unforced response of the system and is called the fundamental or state transition matrix.

$$
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\phi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}(0)+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \phi(\mathrm{t}-\tau) \mathrm{Bu}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

## THE TRANSFER FUNCTION FROM THE STATE EQUATION

The transfer function of a single input-single output (SISO) system can be obtained from the state variable equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=A x+B u \\
& y=C x
\end{aligned}
$$

where y is the single output and u is the single input. The Laplace transform of the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{sX}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{AX}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{CX}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix, since $u$ is a single input. We do not include initial conditions, since we seek the transfer function. Reordering the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{sI}-\mathrm{A}] \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{~s})} \\
& \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~s})=[\mathrm{sI}-\mathrm{A}]^{-1} \mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{~s})=\phi(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{C} \phi(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{BU}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the transfer function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{s}) / \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{s})$ is

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{C} \phi(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{B}
$$

Example:
Determine the transfer function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{s}) / \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{s})$ for the RLC circuit as described by the state differential function

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} & -\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{u} \quad, \quad \mathrm{y}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathrm{R}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}
$$

$$
[\mathrm{sI}-\mathrm{A}]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{s} & \frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} & \mathrm{~s}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \phi(\mathrm{s})=[\mathrm{sI}-\mathrm{A}]^{-1}=\frac{1}{\Delta(\mathrm{~s})}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{s}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}} & -\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} & \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \Delta(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{s}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~s}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the transfer function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(s)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & R
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\mathrm{s}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}}}{\Delta(\mathrm{~s})} & -\frac{1}{\mathrm{C} \Delta(\mathrm{~s})} \\
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L} \Delta(\mathrm{~s})} & \frac{\mathrm{s}}{\Delta(\mathrm{~s})}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{LC}}{\Delta(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{LC}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~s}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANALYSIS OF STATE VARIABLE MODELS USING MATLAB

Given a transfer function, we can obtain an equivalent state-space representation and vice versa. The function tf can be used to convert a state-space representation to a transfer function representation; the function ss can be used to convert a transfer function representation to a state-space representation. The functions are shown in Figure 4, where sys_tf represents a transfer function model and sys_ss is a state space representation.


The ss function


Linear system model conversion

Figure 4.

For instance, consider the third-order system

$$
G(s)=\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)}=\frac{2 s^{2}+8 s+6}{s^{3}+8 s^{2}+16 s+6}
$$

We can obtain a state-space representation using the ss function. The statespace representation of the system given by $G(s)$ is

| Matlab code |
| :---: |
| num=[2 88 6];den=[1 |
| sys_tf=tf(num,den) |
| sys_ss=ss(sys_tf) |


| $2 s^{\wedge} 2+8 s+6$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{a}= & & & \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 & \mathrm{x} 2 & \mathrm{x} 3 \\
\mathrm{x} 1 & -8 & -4 & -1.5 \\
\mathrm{x} 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{x} 3 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \text { b }= \\
& \begin{array}{rr}
41 \\
\times 1 & 2 \\
\times 2 & 0 \\
x 3 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \text { c= } \\
& \begin{array}{lrrr} 
& \mathrm{x} 1 & \mathrm{x} 2 & \mathrm{x} 3 \\
\mathrm{y} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.75
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
d= & \\
& u 1 \\
y 1 & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-8 & -4 & -1.5 \\
4 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], B=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& C=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0.75
\end{array}\right] \text { and } D=[0]
\end{aligned}
$$



Block diagram with $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ defined as the leftmost state variable.

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t)=e^{A t} x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-\tau)} B u(\tau) d \tau \\
x(t)=\phi(t) x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi(t-\tau) B u(\tau) d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

We can use the function expm to compute the transition matrix for a given time. The $\operatorname{expm}(\mathbf{A})$ function computes the matrix exponential. By contrast the $\exp (A)$ function calculates $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}}$ for each of the elements $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \epsilon \mathrm{A}$.

For the RLC network, the state-space representation is given as:

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -2 \\
1 & -3
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathrm{D}=[0]
$$

The initial conditions are $\mathrm{x}_{1}(0)=\mathrm{x}_{2}(0)=1$ and the input $u(t)=0$. At $t=0.2$, the state transition matrix is calculated as Phi $=$
>>A=[0-2;1-3], dt=0.2; Phi=expm(A*dt)

The state at $\mathrm{t}=0.2$ is predicted by the state transition method to be

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{\mathrm{t}=0.2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.9671 & -0.2968 \\
0.1484 & 0.5219
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.6703 \\
0.6703
\end{array}\right]
$$

The time response of a system can also be obtained by using Isim function. The Isim function can accept as input nonzero initial conditions as well as an input function. Using Isim function, we can calculate the response for the RLC network as shown below.


Example: Dorf and Bishop, Modern Control Systems, p173.
Consider the head mount of a disk reader shown in
 the figure. We will attempt to derive a model for the system shown in Figure 5a. Here we identify the motor mass $M_{1}$ and the head mount mass as $M_{2}$. The flexure spring is represented by the spring constant $k$. The force $u(t)$ to drive the mass $M_{1}$ is generated by the DC motor. If the spring is absolutely rigid (nonspringy), then we obtain the simplifed model shown in Figure 5b. Typical parameters for the two-mass system are given in Table 1.


Figure 5a
Table 1. Typical parameters of the two-mass model

| Motor mass $M_{1}=0.02 \mathrm{~kg}$ | Friction at mass $1 b_{1}=410 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{m}$ | Motor constant $\mathrm{Km}=0.1025 \mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Flexure spring $10<=\mathrm{k}<=$ inf | Field resistance $\mathrm{R}=1 \Omega$ | Friction at mass $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}=4.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{kgm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Head mounting $\mathrm{M}_{2}=0.0005 \mathrm{~kg}$ | Field inductance $\mathrm{L}=1 \mathrm{mH}$ | Head position $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ |



Note that the output is $\mathrm{dy} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{x}_{4}$. Also, for $\mathrm{L}=0$ or negligible inductance, then $u(t)=K_{m} v(t)$. For the typical parameters and $k=10$, we have


## THE DESIGN OF STATE VARIABLE FEEDBACK SYSTEMS

The time-domain method, expressed in terms of state variables, can also be utilized to design a suitable compensation scheme for a control system. Typically, we are interested in controlling the system with a control signal, $u(t)$, which is a function of several measurable state variables. Then we develop a state variable controller that operates on the information available in measured form.

State variable design is typically comprised of three steps. In the first step, we assume that all the state variables are measurable and utilize them in a full-state feedback control law. Full-state feedback is not usually practical because it is not possible (in general) to measure all the states. In paractice, only certain states (or linear combinations thereof) are measured and provided as system outputs. The second step in state varaible design is to construct an observer to estimate the states that are not directly sensed and available as outputs. Observers can either be full-state observers or reduced-order observers. Reduced-order observers account for the fact that certain states are already available as system outputs; hence they do not need to be estimated. The final step in the design process is to appropriately connect the observer to the full-state feedback conrol low. It is common to refer to the state-varaible controller as a compensator. Additionally, it is possible to consider reference inputs to the state variable compensator to complete the design.

## CONTROLLABILITY:

Full-state feedback design commonly relies on pole-placement techniques. It is important to note that a system must be completely controllable and completely observable to allow the flexibility to place all the closed-loop system poles arbitrarily. The concepts of controllability and observability were introduced by Kalman in the 1960s.

A system is completely controllable if there exists an unconstrained control $u(t)$ that can transfer any initial state $x\left(t_{0}\right)$ to any other desired location $x(t)$ in a finite time, $t_{0} \leq t \leq T$.

For the system

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{Bu}
$$

we can determine whether the system is controllable by examining the algebraic condition

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{AB} & \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~B}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{n}
$$

The matrix $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix an $B$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix. For multi input systems, $B$ can be $n \times m$, where $m$ is the number of inputs.

For a single-input, single-output system, the controllability matrix $P_{c}$ is described in terms of $A$ and $B$ as

$$
P_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B & A B & A^{2} B \cdots A^{n-1} B
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is nxn matrix. Therefore, if the determinant of $P_{c}$ is nonzero, the system is controllable.

Example:
Consider the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-\mathrm{a}_{0} & -\mathrm{a}_{1} & -\mathrm{a}_{2}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{u} \quad, \quad \mathrm{y}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}+[0] \mathrm{u} \\
\mathrm{~A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-\mathrm{a}_{0} & -\mathrm{a}_{1} & -\mathrm{a}_{2}
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{AB}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-a_{2}
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{~B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\mathrm{a}_{2} \\
\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{AB} & \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{~B}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -a_{2} \\
1 & -a_{2} & \left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The determinant of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=1$ and $\neq 0$, hence this system is controllable.

## Example.

Consider a system represented by the two state equations

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1}=-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{u}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{2}=-3 \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{x}_{1}
$$

The output of the system is $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}_{2}$. Determine the condition of controllability.

$$
\dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 0 \\
d & -3
\end{array}\right] x+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u \quad, \quad y=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x+[0] u
$$

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } A B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 0 \\
d & -3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-2 \\
d
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
P_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

The determinant of pc is equal to $d$, which is
nonzero only when $d$ is nonzero.

## OBSERVABILITY:

All the poles of the closed-loop system can be placed arbitrarily in the complex plane if and only if the system is observable and controllable. Observability refers to the ability to estimate a state variable.

A system is completely observable if and only if there exists a finite time T such that the initial state $x(0)$ can be determined from the observation history $y(t)$ given the control $u(t)$.

Consider the single-input, single-output system

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{Bu} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Cx}
$$

where $C$ is a $1 x n$ row vector, and $x$ is an $n \times 1$ column vector. This system is completely observable when the determinant of the observability matrix $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is nonzero.

The observability matrix, which is an $n \times n$ matrix, is written as

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{CA} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{CA}^{\mathrm{n}-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example:

Consider the previously given system

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-a_{0} & -a_{1} & -a_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{CA}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{CA}^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
P_{O}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The det $P_{0}=1$, and the system is completely observable. Note that determination of observability does not utility the B and C matrices.

Example: Consider the system given by

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}+\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{u} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{y}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{x}
$$

We can check the system controllability and observability using the $P_{c}$ and $P_{0}$ matrices.

From the system definition, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{AB}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, the controllability matrix is determined to be

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{AB}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
-1 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\operatorname{det} P_{c}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{c}\right)=1$. Thus, the system is not controllable.

From the system definition, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathrm{CA}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, the observability matrix is determined to be

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{CA}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\operatorname{det} P_{O}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{0}\right)=1$. Thus, the system is not observable.
If we look again at the state model, we note that

$$
y=x_{1}+x_{2}
$$

However,

$$
\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{2}=2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}
$$

