# Simplex Problems

- Simplex Method
- Characteristics of Simplex Method
- Why we should study the Simplex Method?
- Summary of the Simplex Method
- Examples solved by conducting tabular method

# SIMPLEX METHOD

Linear programming models could be solved algebraically. The most widely used algebraic procedure for solving linear programming problem is called the Simplex Method. The simplex method is a generalpurpose linear-programming algorithm widely used to solve large scale problems. Although it lacks the intuitive appeal of the graphical approach, its ability to handle problems with more than two decision variables makes it extremely valuable for solving problems often encountered in production/operations management. Thus simplex method offers an efficient means of solving more complex linear programming problems.

- In the simplex method, the computational routine is an iterative process. To *iterate* means to repeat; hence, in working toward the optimum solution, the computational routine is repeated over and over, following a standard pattern.
- Successive solutions are developed in a systematic pattern until the best solution is reached.
- Each new solution will yield a value of the objective function as large as or larger than the previous solution. This important feature assures us that we are always moving closer to the optimum answer. Finally, the method indicates when the optimum solution has been reached.

Most real-life linear programming problems have more than two variables, so a procedure called the simplex method is used to solve such problems. This procedure solves the problem in an iterative manner, that is, repeating the same set of procedures time after time until an optimal solution is reached. Each iteration brings a higher value for the objective function so that we are always moving closer to the optimal solution.

The simplex method requires simple mathematical operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division), but the computations are lengthy and tedious, and the slightest error can lead to a good deal of frustration. For these reasons, most users of the technique rely on computers to handle the computations while they concentrate on the solutions. Still, some familiarity with manual computations is helpful in understanding the simplex process. The student will discover that it is better not to use his/her calculator in working through these problems because rounding can easily distort the results. Instead, it is better to work with numbers in fractional form.

• It is important to understand the ideas used to produce solution. The simplex approach yields not only the optimal solution to the xi variables, and the maximum profit (or minimum cost) but valuable economic information as well.

• To be able to use computers successfully and to interpret LP computer print outs, we need to know what the simplex method is doing and why.

• We begin by solving a maximization problem using the simplex method. We then tackle a minimization problem.

#### **SUMMARY OF THE SIMPLEX METHOD**

- Step 1. Formulate a LP model of the problem.
- Step 2. Add slack variables to each constraint to obtain standard form.
- Step 3. Set up the initial simplex tableau.
- Step 4. Choose the nonbasic variable with the largest entry in the net evaluation row (Cj Zj) to bring into the basis. This identifies the pivot (key) column; the column associated with the incoming variable.
- Step 5. Choose as the pivot row that row with the smallest ratio of "bi/ aij", for aij >0 where j is the pivot column. This identifies the pivot row, the row of the variable leaving the basis when variable j enters.
- Step 6. a). Divide each element of the pivot row by the pivot element.
  - b). According to the entering variable, find the new values for remaining variables.
- Step 7. Test for optimality. If  $Cj Zj \le 0$  for all columns, we have the optimal solution. If not, return to step 4.

### Example 1

A Furniture Ltd., wants to determine the most profitable combination of products to manufacture given that its resources are limited. The Furniture Ltd., makes two products, *tables* and *chairs*, which must be processed through *assembly* and *finishing departments*. Assembly has 60 hours available; Finishing can handle up to 48 hours of work.

Manufacturing one table requires 4 hours in assembly and 2 hours in finishing.

Each chair requires 2 hours in assembly and 4 hours in finishing. Profit is \$8 per table and \$6 per chair.

	Hours requir	Total hours	
	Tables	Chairs	available
Assembly	4	2	60
Finishing	2	4	48
Profit per unit	\$8	\$6	

Stated algebraically, the Ltd., problem isMaximise!Profit $Z = 8X_1 + 6X_2$ Subject to::Assembly $4X_1 + 2X_2 \le 60$ Finishing $2X_1 + 4X_2 \le 48$ All variables  $\ge 0$ 

The first step is to convert the inequalities into equations.

The best combination of tables and chairs may not necessarily use all the time available in each department. We must therefore add to each inequality a variable, which will take up the slack, i.e. the time not used in each department. This variable is called a slack variable.

By adding the slack variables we convert the constraint inequalities in the problem into equations. The slack variable in each department takes on whatever value is required to make the equation relationship hold.

The final form is Maximize Profit  $Z = 8 X_1 + 6 X_2 + 0 S_1 + 0 S_2$ Subject to  $2 X_1 + 4 X_2 + S_1 = 60$ All variables  $\ge 0$ 

The 2<sup>nd</sup> step is to put the equations into tabular form, called *tableaus*.



The simplest starting solution is to make no tables or chairs, have all unused time and earn no profit. This solution is technically feasible but not financially attractive. (Because the variables X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> do not appear in the mix, they are equal to zero.)

To find the profit for each solution and to determine whether the solution can be improved upon, we need to add two more rows to the initial simplex tableau: a  $Z_{j}$  row and a  $C_{j} - Z_{j}$  row.

Column  $Z_i =$  Total profit from this particular solution

The four values for  $Z_i$  under the variable columns (all 0 are the amounts by which profit would be reduced if 1 unit of any of the variables were added to the mix.

÷								
	Ci			\$8	6	0	0	
		Product mix	Quantity	X1	X <sub>2</sub>	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	
	\$0	S1	60	4	2	1	0	
	0	S <sub>2</sub>	48	2	4	0	1	
		Zi	\$0	0	0	0	0	
		<u>Ci – Zi</u>		8	6	0	0	
				<b>–</b>				[

#### Max

 $Z_i$  represents the gross profit given up by adding 1 unit of this variable into the current solution (profit loss per unit).  $C_j - Z_i$  is net profit from the introduction 1 unit of each variable into the solution.

By examining the numbers in the  $C_j - Z_i$  row we can see that total profit can be increased by 48 for each unit of  $X_1$  (tables). Positive number indicates that profits can be improved for each unit added. We select the largest positive value. Max  $C_j - Z_j$ value showing the variable that should be added, replacing one of the variables present in the mix.

The next step is to determine which variable will be replaced.

This is done in the following manner:

Divide quantity column values by their corresponding numbers in the maximum (optimum) column and select the row with the smallest nonnegative ratio as the row to be replaced.

<u>S1 row</u> 60/4 = 15 units of Table (X1)  $\rightarrow$  minimum replaced row.

 $S_2$  row 48/4 = 24 units of Table (X<sub>1</sub>)

Сј			\$8	6	0	0					
	Product mix	Quantity <sub>bi</sub>	X1	X <sub>2</sub>	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	b <sub>i</sub> /a <sub>ij</sub>				
0	S1	60	4	2	1	0	60/4 = 15				
0	S <sub>2</sub>	48	2	4	0	1	48/2 = 24				
	Zi	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	Intersectional elements				
	Cj – Zj		8	6	0	0	(key #)				
	Max. (optimum entering variable)										

1<sup>st</sup> Simplex Tableau

 $a_{ii}$  = coefficient associated with variable j in the constraint i

#### <u>For 2<sup>nd</sup> Simplex Tableau</u>

 $X_1 = 60/4 = 15$ , 4/4 = 1, 2/4 = 1/2, 1/4 = 1/4, 0/4 = 0

Thus new X1 row should be (15, 1, 1/2, 1/4, 0),

The new values for remaining rows:

[elements in old row] - [key #] x [corresponding elements in replacing row] = new row

<u>Elements in old row</u>	—	key#	x	replacing row	=	new row
48	_	2	x	15	=	18
2	_	2	x	1	=	0
4	_	2	x	1/2	=	3
0	_	2	x	1/4	=	-1/2
1	_	2	x	0	=	1

The computation of Z<sub>i</sub> row for 2<sup>nd</sup> tableau is as follows.

 $\begin{array}{c} Z_{i} \text{ for } X_{1} & 8 \times 1 + 0 \ (0) = 8 \\ Z_{i} \text{ for } X_{2} & 8 \ (1/2) + 0 \ (3) = 4 \\ Z_{i} \text{ for } S_{1} & 8 \ (1/4) + 0 \ (-1/2) = 2 \\ Z_{i} \text{ for } S_{2} & 8 \ (0) + 0 \ (1) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \text{Profit given up by introducing 1 unit of these} \\ \text{variables} \end{array}$ 

 $Z_{i}$  (total profit) = 8 (15) + 0 (18) = \$120

#### 2nd Simplex Tableau

Сј			\$8	6	0	0					
	Product mix	Quantity bi	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	b <sub>i</sub> /a <sub>ij</sub>				
\$8	X1	15	1	1/2	1/4	0	$15/\frac{1}{2} = 30$				
0	S <sub>2</sub>	18	0	3	-1/2	1	18/3 = 6 $\rightarrow \min! leaving$				
	Zi	\$120	\$8	4	2	0					
	<u>Ci</u> – Zi		\$0	2	-2	0					
	Max! entering										

X<sub>2</sub> will enter in the product mix and S<sub>2</sub> is leaving.

New X<sub>2</sub> values: 18/3 = 6, 0/3 = 0, 3/3 = 1,  $-\frac{1}{2}/3 = -1/6$ , 1/3 = 1/3Thus new X<sub>2</sub> (replacing row) values = 6, 0, 1, -1/6, 1/3 (Assumes same row position as the replaced row)

#### <u>New Values for $X_{l}$ :</u>

Elements in old X <sub>1</sub>	<u>row</u> –	key #	¥ x	replacin	g row =	<u>new X<sub>l</sub> row</u>
15		1/2	X	6	=	12
1	_	1/2	X	0	=	1
1/2	_	1/2	X	1	=	0
1/4	_	1/2	X	-1/6	=	1/3
0	_	1/2	X	1/3	=	-1/6

#### New Zi values :

Z <u>i ( total</u> p	$\operatorname{profit}$ ) = 8 (12) + 6 (6) = \$132
Z₁ for X₁	8(1) + 6(0) = 8
Z <sub>i</sub> for X <sub>2</sub>	8(0) + 6(1) = 6
Z₁ for S₁ –	$8(1/3) + \frac{6}{5}(-1/6) = 5/3$
Z <sub>i</sub> for S <sub>2</sub>	8 (- 1/6) + 6 (1/3) = 2/3

#### <u>3rd</u> Simplex Tableau

Cj			\$8	6	0	0	
	Product mix	Quantity bi	X1	X <sub>2</sub>	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	
\$8	X1	12	1	0	1/3	-1/6	
6	X <sub>2</sub>	6	0	1	-1/6	1/3	
	Zi	\$132	\$8	6	5/3	2/3	
	<u>Ci</u> – Zi		\$0	0	-5/3	-2/3	

There is no positive " $C_j - Z_j$ " value, no further profit improvement is possible. Thus the optimum solution is obtained. Profit will be maximized by making 12 tables and 6 chairs and having no unused time in either department (because slack variables do no appear in the product-mix column and are equal to zero). Optimum profit is \$132.

#### Verification:

Objective function

$$Z_{i} = 8 X_{1} + 6 X_{2} + 0 (S_{1} + S_{2})$$
  
$$Z_{i} = 8 (12) + 6 (6) + 0 = $132$$

Constraints :

Assembly  $4 X_1 + 2 X_2 \le 60 \rightarrow 4(12) + 2(6) \le 60 \rightarrow 60 \le 60$ Finishing  $2 X_1 + 4 X_2 \le 48 \rightarrow 2(12) + 4(6) \le 48 \rightarrow 48 \le 48$ 

### Example 2

- PAR Inc. produces golf equipment and decided to move into the market for standard and deluxe golf bags. Each golf bag requires the following operations:
- Cutting and dyeing the material,

Sewing,

Finishing (inserting umbrella holder, club separators etc.),

Inspection and packaging.

- Each standard golf-bag will require 7/10 hr. in the cutting and dyeing department, 1/2 hr. in the sewing department, 1 hr. in the finishing department and 1/10 hr. in the inspection & packaging department.
- Deluxe model will require 1 hr. in the cutting and dyeing department, 5/6 hr. for sewing, 2/3 hr. for finishing and 1/4 hr. for inspection and packaging
- The profit contribution for every standard bag is 10 MU and for every deluxe bag is 9 MU.
- In addition the total hours available during the next 3 months are as follows:

Cutting & dyeing dept	630 hrs
Sewing dept	600 hrs
Finishing	708 hrs
Inspection & packaging	135 hrs

The company's problem is to determine how many standard and deluxe bags should be produced in the next 3 months?

#### Example 2

Let X<sub>1</sub> = number of standard bags X<sub>2</sub> = number of deluxe bags Z = the total profit contribution Objective function:

Max!  $Z = 10 X_1 + 9 X_2$ 

#### Subject to constraints

$7/10 X_1 + 1 X_2 \le 630$	cutting and dying
$1/2 X_1 + 5/6 X_2 \le 600$	sewing
$1 X_1 + 2/3 X_2 \le 708$	finishing
$1/10 X_1 + 1/4 X_2 \le 135$	inspection & packaging
$X_1 \ge 0$	
$X_1 \ge 0^{-\Gamma}$ Nonnegative con	istraints

In linear programming terminology, any unused or idle capacity for a  $\leq$  constraint is referred to as the slack associated with the constraint. Often variables, called slack variables, are added to the formulation of a linear programming problem to represent the slack or idle capacity. Unused capacity makes no contribution to profit; thus slack variables have coefficients of zero in the objective function. Whenever a linear program is written in a form with all constraints expressed as equalities, it is said to be written in standard form.

After the addition of slack variables to the mathematical statement, the mathematical model becomes

Max!  $10 X_1 + 9 X_2 + 0 S_1 + 0 S_2 + 0 S_3 + 0 S_4$ 

Subject to

$7/10 X_1 + 1 X_2 +$	1 S <sub>1</sub>	= 630
1/2 X1 + 5/6 X2	+ 1 S <sub>2</sub>	= 600
$1 X_1 + 2/3 X_2$	+ 1 S <sub>3</sub>	=708
1/10 X1 + 1/4 X2	+ 1 S4	= 135
	$X_{1}, X_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4} \ge$	0

#### <u>Initial Tableau</u>

Ci			10	9	0	0	0	0	
			MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	
	Product	Quantity	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	$S_1$	S2	S3	S4	b <sub>i</sub> / a <sub>jj</sub>
	mix	bi							
0	S1	630	7/10	1	1	0	0	0	630/7/10 =900
MU									
0	S <sub>2</sub>	600	1/2	5/6	0	1	0	0	$600/\frac{1}{2} = 1200$
MU									
0	S3	708	1	2/3	0	0	1	0	708/1 = 708
MU									(min leaving)
0	S4	135	1/10	1⁄4	0	0	0	1	135/ 1/10 =
MU									1350
	Zi	$0  \mathrm{MU}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	
	Ci-Zi		10	9	0	0	0	0	
			MU₄	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	
			Max. (	Entering)					

For the 2<sup>nd</sup> Simplex Tableau

708/1 = 708, 1/1 = 1, <u>2/3/1</u> = 2/3, 0, 0, 1, 0

∴ new X1 value are : 708, 1, 2/3, 0, 0, 1, 0

Elements in old S	<u>1 row –</u>	key#	х	new X <sub>l</sub> row	=	<u>new S<sub>l</sub> row</u>
630		7/10	x	708	=	134.4
7/10	_	7/10	х	1	=	0
1	_	7/10	x	2/3	=	8/15
1	_	7/10	х	0	=	1
0	_	7/10	Х	0	=	0
0	_	7/10	х	1	=	-7/10
0	_	7/10	х	0	=	0

Elements in old S2:	row –	key #	± x	new X <sub>l</sub> row		<u>new S<sub>2</sub> row</u>
600	—	1/2	X	708	=	246
1/2	—	1/2	X	1	=	0
5/6	—	1/2	X	2/3	=	1/2
0	—	1/2	x	0	=	0
1	_	1/2	x	0	=	1
0	—	1/2	x	1	=	-1/2
0	_	1/2	x	0	=	0

	Elem	ents in old	<u>S4 row -</u>	key#	x	new X	<u>lrow</u>	=	new S <sub>4</sub> :	row
		135	_	1/10	x	708		=	64.2	
		1/10	_	1/10	x	1		=	0	
		1/4	_	1/10	x	2/3		=	11/60	
		0	_	1/10	x	0		=	0	
		0	_	1/10	x	0		=	0	
		0	_	1/10	x	1		=	-1/10	
		1	—	1/10	x	0		=	1	
	<u>2nd T</u>	ableau								
÷								_		-
	Ci			10	9	0	0	0	0	
				MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	
		Product	Quantity	X1	$X_2$	$S_1$	$S_2$	S <sub>3</sub>	S4	b <sub>i</sub> / <sub>ajj</sub>
Ļ		mix	bi							
	0	$S_1$	134.4	0	8/15	1	0	-7/10	0	252
	MU									(min
		~~~~								leaving)
	0	$S_2$	246	0	1/2	0	1	-1/2	0	492
-	MU									1000
	10	$X_1$	708	1	2/3	0	U	1	0	1062
-	MU				11760			1/10		2050/11
	NATT	≥4	64.2	0	11/60	U	U	-1/10		3852/11
ŀ	MO	7.	7090 N#T	10	20/2	0	0	10	0	
		₩i	1090 1410	10	2015		0	10		
		$C_i - Z_i$		0	7/3	0	0	-10	0	
L					an Tret	l				

Max. (Entering)

New X<sub>2</sub> values are:  $\frac{134.4}{2} = 252.0$ , 1, 15/8, 0, -21/16, 0

Elements in old S <sub>2</sub>	row –	key#	x	new X <sub>2</sub> row	=	new S <u>2 row</u>
246		1/2	x	252	=	120
0	_	1/2	x	0	=	0
1/2	—	1/2	x	1	=	0
0	—	1/2	x	15/8	=	-15/16
1	_	1/2	x	0	=	1
-1/2	—	1/2	x	-21/16	=	5/32
0	_	1/2	х	0	=	0
Elements in old X	row –	key#	x	new X2row	=	new X1 row
708		2/3	x	252	=	540
1	_	2/3	x	0	=	1
2/3	_	2/3	x	1	=	0
0	_	2/3	x	15/8	=	-5/4
0	_	2/3	x	0	=	0
1	—	2/3	x	-21/16	=	15/8
0	_	2/3	x	0	=	0
<u>Elements in old S4</u>	row –	key#	x	new X2row	=	new S4_row
64.2		11/60	x	252	=	18 -
0	—	11/60	x	0	=	0
11/60	—	11/60	x	1	=	0
0	—	11/60	x	15/8	=	-11/32
0	—	11/60	x	0	=	0
-1/10	—	11/60	x	-21/10	=	45/320
1	—	11/60	x	0	=	1

#### <u>3rd Tableau</u>

Cj			10	9	0	0	0	0
			MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU
	Product	Quantity	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	$S_1$	S <sub>2</sub>	S3	S4
	mix	bi						
9	X <sub>2</sub>	252	0	1	15/8	0	-21/16	0
MU								
0	S <sub>2</sub>	120	0	0	-15/16	1	5/32	0
MU								
10	X <sub>1</sub>	540	1	0	-5/4	0	15/8	0
MU								
0	S4	18	0	0	-11/32	0	45/320	1
MU								
	Zi	7668	10	9	135/8+(5/4)	0	-189/16+	0
		MU			= 35/8		300/16=111/16	
	Ci-Zi		0	0	-35/8	0	-111/16	0

There is no positive Cj - Zj value in the simplex tableau. Therefore no further profit improvement is possible. Thus the optimum solution is obtained.

- > Thus: Standard bag production  $(X_1) = 540$  bags.
  - Deluxe bag production  $(X_2) = 252$  bags.
- Maximum profit = Z = \$10 (540) + \$9 (252) = \$7668
- Unused hours in Sewing department = 120 hours Inspection and packaging department = 18 hours

### Example 3

High Tech industries import components for production of two different models of personal computers, called deskpro and portable. High Tech's management is currently interested in developing a weekly production schedule for both products.

The deskpro generates a profit contribution of \$50/unit, and portable generates a profit contribution of \$40/unit. For next week's production, a max of 150 hours of assembly time is available. Each unit of deskpro requires 3 hours of assembly time. And each unit of portable requires 5 hours of assembly time.

High Tech currently has only 20 portable display components in inventory; thus no more than 20 units of portable may be assembled. Only 300 sq. feet of warehouse space can be made available for new production. Assembly of each Deskpro requires 8 sq. ft. of warehouse space, and each Portable requires 5 sq. ft. of warehouse space.

	X <sub>1</sub> - Deskpro	X <sub>2</sub> – Portable	Capacity
Assembly line	3	5	150
Portable Ass	-	1	20
Space	8	5	300
Profit Cont.	\$50/unit	\$40/unit	

	X <sub>1</sub> - Deskpro	X <sub>2</sub> – Portable	Capacity
Assembly line	3	5	150
Portable Ass	-	1	20
Space	8	5	300
Profit Cont.	\$50/unit	\$40/unit	

Adding a slack variable to each of the constraints permits us to write the problem in standard form:

Objective Function: Max!  $Z = 50 X_1 + 40 X_2 + 0 S_1 + 0S_2 + 0S_3$ Subject to:

$$\begin{array}{rll} 3 \ X_1 + 5 \ X_2 + 1S_1 & = 150 \\ & 1 \ X_2 & + 1S_2 & = 20 \\ 8 \ X_1 + 5 \ X_2 & + 1S_3 & = 300 \\ & X_1, \ X_{2*} \ S_1, \ S_2, \ S_3 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

<u>Initial</u>	<u>Tableau</u>							
Çi			\$50	\$40	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Product mix	Quantity bi	Xı	$X_2$	S1	S2	S3	b <sub>i</sub> / <sub>ajj</sub>
\$0	S1	150	3	5	1	0	0	150/3 = 50
\$0	S <sub>2</sub>	20	0	1	0	1	0	
\$0	S3	300	8	5	0	0	1	300/8 = 37.5 (min. leaving)
	Zi	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	$C_j - Z_j$		\$50	\$40	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Max	c (e	enter	ing	Ø

New X <sub>1</sub> valu	<u>1e. :</u> 3	00/8 = 37	.5, 8	/8 = 1, 5/8, 0,	0,	1/8	
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u>	_	key #	x	<u>new X1 row</u>		=	<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u>
150	_	3	x	75/2		=	37.5
3	_	3	x	1		=	0
5	_	3	x	5/8		=	25/8
1	_	3	x	0		=	1
0	_	3	x	0		=	0
0	_	3	x	1/8		=	-3/8
<u>Old S2 row</u>	_	key#	x	new X <sub>l</sub> row	=		<u>new S<sub>2</sub> row</u>
20	_	0	x	75/2		=	20
0	_	0	x	1		=	0
1	_	0	x	5/8		=	1
0	_	0	x	0		=	0
1	_	0	x	0		=	1
0	_	0	x	1/8		=	0

2nd T	<u>able au</u>							
Gi			\$50	\$40	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Product mix	Quantity bi	X1	$X_2$	S1	S2	S3	b <sub>i</sub> / <sub>ajj</sub>
\$0	$\mathbb{S}_1$	75/2	0	25/8	1	0	-3/8	75/2 / 25/8 = 12 (min leaving)
\$0	S <sub>2</sub>	20	0	1	0	1	0	20/1=20
\$50	$X_1$	75/2	1	5/8	0	0	1/8	75/2 / 5/8 = 60
	Zi	\$1875	\$50	\$250/8	\$0	\$0	\$50/8	
	Cj – Zj		\$0	\$70/8	\$0	\$0	\$-50/8	

#### Max. (Entering)

New X<sub>2</sub> values : 12, 0, 1, 8/25, 0, -3/25

old S2row	—	key#	x	new X <sub>2</sub> row	=	<u>new S2 row</u>
20	_	1	x	12	=	8
0	_	1	x	0	=	0
1	_	1	x	1	=	0
0	_	1	x	8/25	=	-8/25
1	_	1	x	0	=	1
0	_	1	x	-3/25	=	3/25
<u>old X1</u> row	_	key#	x	new X <sub>2</sub> row =	ne	<u>ew X<sub>1</sub> row</u>
75/2	_	5/8	x	12	=	30
1	_	5/8	x	0	=	1
5/8	_	5/8	x	1	=	0
0	_	5/8	x	8/25	=	-1/5
0	_	5/8	х	0	=	0
				-		
1/8	-	5/8	x	-3/25	=	1/5

#### <u>3rd Tableau</u>

Cj			\$50	\$40	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Product	Quantity	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	$S_1$	$S_2$	S3
	mix	Bi					
\$40	X <sub>2</sub>	12	0	1	8/25	0	-3/25
\$0	S <sub>2</sub>	8	0	0	-8/25	1	3/25
\$50	X1	30	1	0	-1/5	0	1/5
	Zi	\$1980	\$50	\$40	\$14/5	\$0	\$26/5
	$C_j - Z_j$		\$0	\$0	\$-14/5	\$0	\$-26/5

The optimal solution to a linear programming problem has been reached when all of the entries in the net evaluation row  $C_j - Z_j$  are zero or negative. In such cases, the optimal solution is the current basic feasible solution.

Thus:

Units of Deskpro production  $(X_1) = 30$  units Units of Portable production  $(X_2) = 12$  units  $S_2 = 8$  units

Management should note that there would be eight unused Portable display units. Maximum profit is \$1980. ▶ Obtaining tableau form is somewhat more complex if the LP contains ≥ constraints, = constraints, and/or "-ve" right-hand-side values. Here we will explain how to develop tableau form for each of these situations.

- Suppose that in the high-tech industries problem, management wanted to ensure that the combined total production for both models would be at least 25 units.
- ▶ Thus,
- Objective Function Max  $Z = 50X_1 + 40X_2$

$$X_1$$
 ,  $X_2$   $\geq$  0

First, we use three slack variables and one surplus variable to write the problem in std. Form.

Subject to $3X_1 + 5X_2 + 1S_1 = 150$ $1X_2 + 1S_2 = 20$ $8X_1 + 5X_2 + 1S_3 = 30$ $1X_1 + 1X_2 - 1S_4 = 10$ All variables $\ge 0$ For the initial tableau $X_1 = 0$ $S_1 = 150$ $S_2 = 20$ $S_3 = 300$ $S_4 = -25$	Max $Z = 50X_1 + 40X_2 + 0S_1 + 0S_2 + 0S_3$	+ 0S <sub>4</sub>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Subject to $3X_1 + 5X_2 + 1S_1 = 150$	C
$8X_{1} + 5X_{2} + 1S_{3} = 30$ $1X_{1} + 1X_{2} - 1S_{4} = 1$ All variables $\ge 0$ For the initial tableau $X_{1} = 0$ $X_{2} = 0$ $S_{1} = 150$ $S_{2} = 20$ $S_{3} = 300$ $S_{4} = -25$	$1X_2 + 1S_2 = 20$	
$1X_{1} + 1X_{2} - 1S_{4} =$ All variables $\geq 0$ For the initial tableau $X_{1} = 0$ $X_{2} = 0$ $S_{1} = 150$ $S_{2} = 20$ $S_{3} = 300$ $S_{4} = -25$	$8X_1 + 5X_2 + 1S_3 =$	300
All variables $\ge 0$ For the initial tableau $X_1 = 0$ $X_2 = 0$ $S_1 = 150$ $S_2 = 20$ $S_3 = 300$ $S_4 = -25$	$1X_1 + 1X_2 - 1S_4$	= 25
<ul> <li>For the initial tableau X<sub>1</sub> = 0 X<sub>2</sub> = 0</li> <li>S<sub>1</sub> = 150 S<sub>2</sub> = 20</li> <li>S<sub>3</sub> = 300 S<sub>4</sub> = -25</li> </ul>	All variables $\geq 0$	
S <sub>1</sub> = 150 S <sub>2</sub> = 20 S <sub>3</sub> = 300 S <sub>4</sub> = -25	For the initial tableau $X_1 = 0$ $X_2 = 0$	
► S <sub>3</sub> = 300 S <sub>4</sub> = -25	$S_1 = 150$ $S_2 = 20$	
•	$S_3 = 300$ $S_4 = -25$	

Clearly this is not a basic feasible solution since

S4 = -25 violates the nonnegativity requirement.

- .:. We introduce new variable called ARTIFICIAL VARIABLE.
- Artificial variables will be eliminated before the optimal solution is reached. We assign a very large cost to the variable in the objective function.
- : Objective function

 $50X_1 + 40X_2 + 0S_1 + 0S_2 + 0S_3 + 0S_4 - MA_4$ 

### Initial Tableau

$C_j$			50	40	0	0	0	0	-M	
	Product mix	Quantit bi	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	$S_3$	$S_4$	$A_4$	b <sub>i</sub> / a <sub>ij</sub>
0	S <sub>1</sub>	150	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	150/3=50
0	S <sub>2</sub>	20	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
0	S <sub>3</sub>	300	8	5	0	0	1	0	0	300/8=37.5
-M	A <sub>4</sub>	25	1	1	0	0	0	-1	1	25 Min. leaving
	$Z_{j}$	-25M	-M	-M	0	0	0	Μ	-M	
	$C_j - Z_j$		50+M	40+M	0	0	0	-M	0	
		Ma	ax. (Ente	ering)						
New	/X, values	= 25. 1.	1. 0. 0.	01. 1						

<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u>	_	key#	x	<u>new X1 values</u>	=	<u>new Si row</u>
150	_	3	x	25	=	75
3	_	3	x	1	=	0
5	_	3	x	1	=	2
1	_	3	x	0	=	1
0	_	3	x	0	=	0
0	_	3	x	0	=	0
0	_	3	x	- 1	=	3
0	_	3	x	1	=	-3
<u>Old S2 row</u>	_	key#	x	new X <sub>1</sub> values	=	new S <u>2 row</u>
20	—	0	x	25	=	20
0	_	0	x	1	=	0
1	_	0	x	1	=	1
0	—	0	x	0	=	0
1	_	0	x	0	=	1
0	_	0	x	0	=	0
0	_	0	x	-1	=	0
0	_	0	x	1	=	0
<u>Old S3 row</u>	_	key#	x	new X <sub>1</sub> values	=	<u>new S3 row</u>
300	_	8	x	25	=	10
8	_	8	x	1	=	0
5	—	8	x	1	=	-3
0	_	8	x	0	=	0
0	_	8	x	0	=	0
1	_	8	x	0	=	1
0	_	8	x	- 1	=	8
0	_	8	x	1	=	-8

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Tableau



new S4 values : 100/8 = 25/2, 0, -3/8, 0, 0, 1/8, 1

Max. (Entering)

#### IMPORTANT!!

- Since A4 is an artificial variable that was added simply to obtain an initial basic feasible solution, we can drop its associated column from the simplex tableau.
- Indeed whenever artificial variables are used, they can be dropped from the simplex tableau as soon as they have been eliminated from the basic feasible solution.

<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u>	—	key#	x	new S4 values	=	<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u>
75	_	3	x	25/2	=	75/2
0	_	3	x	0	=	0
2	_	3	x	-3/8	=	25/8
1	_	3	x	0	=	1
0	_	3	x	0	=	0
0	_	3	x	1/8	=	-3/8
3	_	3	x	1	=	0
<u>Old S<sub>2</sub> row</u>	_	key#	x	new S4 values	=	<u>new S<sub>2</sub> row</u>
20	_	0	x	25/2	=	20
0	_	0	x	0	=	0
1	_	0	x	-3/8	=	1
0	_	0	x	0	=	0
1	_	0	x	0	=	1
0	_	0	x	1/8	=	0
0	_	0	x	1	=	0
<u>Old X<sub>1</sub> row</u>	_	key #	x	<u>new S4 values</u>	=	<u>new X<sub>l</sub> row</u>
25	_	- 1	x	25/2	=	75/2
1	_	- 1	x	0	=	1
1	_	- 1	x	-3/8	=	5/8
0	—	- 1	x	0	=	0
0	_	-1	x	0	=	0
0	_	-1	x	1/8	=	1/8
-1	_	- 1	x	1	=	0

3rd Tableau

Çi			50	40	0	0	0	0	
	Product	Quantity	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	$S_1$	S <sub>2</sub>	S3	S4	bi / a <sub>ij</sub>
	mix	bi							
0	S1	75/2	0	25/8	1	0	-3/8	0	12
									Min. leaving
0	$S_2$	20	0	1	0	1	0	0	20
0	S4	25/2	0	-3/8	0	0	1/8	1	
50	Xı	75/2	1	5/8	0	0	1/8	0	60
	Zi	1875	50	250/8	0	0	50/8	0	
	<u>Ci</u> -Zi		0	70/8	0	0	-50/8	0	

Max. (Entering)

One more iteration is required. This time X2 comes into the solution and S1 is eliminated. After performing this iteration, the following simplex tableau shows that the optimal solution has been reached.

Çi			50	40	0	0	0	0
	Product mix	Quantity b <sub>i</sub>	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	S3	S4
40	X <sub>2</sub>	12	0	1	8/25	0	-3/25	0
0	S <sub>2</sub>	8	0	0	-8/25	1	3/25	0
0	S4	17	0	0	3/25	0	2/25	1
50	Xı	30	1	0	-5/25	0	5/25	0
	Zi	1980	50	40	14/5	0	26/5	0
	Ci − Zi		0	0	-14/5	0	-26/5	0

It turns out that the optimal solution has been reached. (All  $C_i - Z_i \le 0$  and all artificial variables have been eliminated.)

#### EQUALITY CONTRAINTS\_NEGATIVE RIGHT-HAND SIDE VALUES

Simply add an artificial variable A1 to create a basic feasible solution in the initial simplex tableau.

 $6X1 + 4X2 - 5X3 = 30 \implies 6X1 + 4X2 - 5X3 + 1A1 = 30$ 

- One of the properties of the tableau form of a linear program is that the values on the right-hand sides of the constraints have to be nonnegative.
- e.g. # of units of the portable model (X2) has to be less than or equal to the # of units of the deskpro model (X1) after setting aside 5 units of the deskpro for internal company use.

 $X2 \ \leq X1 \ \text{-} \ 5$ 

> - X1 + X2  $\leq$  -5

- ▶ (Min)Multiply by  $-1 \Rightarrow$  (Max) X1 X2 ≥ 5
- We now have an acceptable nonnegative right-hand-side value. Tableau form for this constraint can now be obtained by subtracting a surplus variable and adding an artificial variable.

- Livestock Nutrition Co. produces specially blended feed supplements. LNC currently has an order for 200 kgs of its mixture.
- This consists of two ingredients
  - $X_1$  ( a protein source )

X<sub>2</sub> ( a carbohydrate source )

- The first ingredient, X<sub>1</sub> costs LNC 3MU a kg. The second ingredient, X<sub>2</sub> costs LNC 8MU a kg. The mixture can't be more than 40% X<sub>1</sub> and it must be at least 30% X<sub>2</sub>.
- LNC's problem is to determine how much of each ingredient to use to minimize cost.

The cost function can be written as Cost = 3X<sub>1</sub> + 8X<sub>2</sub> Min!

LNC must produce 200 kgs of the mixture – no more, no less.

 $X_1 + X_2 = 200 \text{ kgs}$ 

The mixture can't be more than 40% X<sub>1</sub>, so we may use less than 80 kgs. (40% X 200<sup>1</sup> + 80). However, we must not exceed 80 kgs.

 $X_1 \le 80 \text{ kgs}$ 

The mixture must be at least 30% X<sub>2</sub>. Thus we may use more than 60 kgs, not less than 60 kgs. (30% X 200 = 60)

> X<sub>2</sub>  $\ge$  60 kgs

Minimize : Cost =  $3MU \times 1 + 8MU \times 2$ Subject to  $\times 1 + \times 2 = 200 \text{ kgs}$  $\times 1 \le 80 \text{ kgs}$  $\times 2 \ge 60 \text{ kgs}$  $\times 1$ ,  $\times 2 \ge 0$ An initial solution:  $\times 1 + \times 2 = 200 \text{ kgs}$  $\Rightarrow \times 1 + \times 2 + \text{A1} = 200$  $\downarrow$ 

Artificial variable : A very expensive substance must not be represented in optimal solution.

An artificial Variable is only of value as a computational device; it allows 2 types of restrictions to be treated.

- The equality type
- $\blacktriangleright \geq$  type
- >  $X_1 \le 80$  kgs constraint on protein

 $\Rightarrow$  X<sub>1</sub>+ S<sub>1</sub> = 80 kgs

 $X_2 \ge 60$  kgs constraint on carbohydrates

#### <u>∓Initial Tableau</u>

Ci			3	8	Μ	0	0	Μ	
			MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	MU	
	Product	Quantity	Xl	X <sub>2</sub>	Aı	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>	b <sub>i</sub> / a <sub>ij</sub>
	mix	bi							-
Μ	Al	200	1	1	1	0	0	0	200/1=200
0	$S_1$	80	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Μ	A <sub>2</sub>	60	0	1	0	0	-1	1	60/1=60
									Min. replaced row
	Zi	260M	Μ	2M	Μ	0	-M	Μ	
	$C_i = Z_i$		3-M	8-2M ♠	0	0	Μ	0	
				Ontim	at colum				C

Optimal column

Computation for 2<sup>nd</sup> tableau:

Replacing row = new X<sub>2</sub> values : 60/1=60, 0/1=0, 1/1=1, 0/1=0, -1/1=-1, 1/1=1

<u>Old Al row</u>	_	key #	x	<u>new X2 values</u>	=	<u>new Al row</u>
200 -	_	1	x	60	=	140 -
1	_	1	x	0	=	1
1	—	1	x	1	=	0
1	_	1	x	0	=	1
0	_	1	x	0	=	0
0	_	1	x	-1	=	1
0	_	1	x	1	=	-1
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u>	_	key#	x	new X <sub>2</sub> values	=	<u>new S1 row</u>
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80	_	<u>key#</u> 0	x x	<u>new X<sub>2</sub>values</u> 60		<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub>row</u> 80 1		<u>key#</u> 0 0	x x x	<u>new X2values</u> 60 0	 	<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0	_ _ _ _	<u>key#</u> 0 0	x x x x x	new X <sub>2</sub> values 60 0 1	 	<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0		<u>key#</u> 0 0 0 0	x x x x x x	<u>new X<sub>2</sub>values</u> 60 0 1 0	  	<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0 1		<u>key#</u> 0 0 0 0 0	x x x x x x x	<u>new X<sub>2</sub>values</u> 60 0 1 0 0 0		<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0 1
<u>Old S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0 1 0	    	<u>key</u> # 0 0 0 0 0	x x x x x x x x	<u>new X<sub>2</sub>values</u> 60 0 1 0 0 -1		<u>new S<sub>1</sub> row</u> 80 1 0 0 1 1 0

	<u>2nd 7</u>	<u>ableau</u>							$\sum$	
<u>+</u>	Ç			3	8	М	0	0	М	
		Product mix	Quantity b <sub>i</sub>	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	Aı	Sl	S <sub>2</sub>	A2	b <sub>i</sub> / a <sub>ij</sub>
	Μ	Al	140	1	0	1	0	1	4	140/1=140
	0	Sı	80	1	0	0	1	0	Ø	80/1=80 replaced row, min.
	8	X <sub>2</sub>	60	0	1	0	Q	-1	1	60/0=
		Zi	140M + 480	Μ	8	Μ	0	M-8	8-M	
		Ci – Zi		3-M ▲	0	0	Q	8-M	2 <b>M</b> -8	
				- L-Ow	المعر المسابا					E

Optimal column

Computations for 3rd	Tabl	eau				
Replacing row = ne	w Xı	values:	80/1=	=80, 1/1=1, 0/1=	=O, O/	1=0, 1/1=1, 0/1=0, 0/1=0
<u>Old A<sub>l</sub> row</u>	-	key #	x	<u>new X<sub>l</sub> values</u>	=	<u>new A<sub>l</sub> row</u>
140	-	1	х	80	=	60
1	_	1	x	1	=	0
0	_	1	х	0	=	0
1	_	1	х	0	=	1
0	_	1	х	1	=	-1
1	_	1	x	0	=	1
-1	_	1	x	0	=	-1
<u>Old X2 row</u>	_	key #	x	<u>new X<sub>l</sub> values</u>	=	new X <u>2</u> row
60	-	0	x	80	=	60
0	_	0	х	1	=	0
1	_	0	x	0	=	1
0	_	0	х	0	=	0
0	_	0	х	1	=	0
-1	_	0	х	0	=	-1
1	_	0	х	0	=	1

<u>3rd Ta</u>	<u>ableau</u>							$\searrow$	
<u>C</u> i			3	8	M	0	0	М	
	Product mix	Quantity bi	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	A2	b <sub>i</sub> / a <sub>ij</sub>
Μ	Al	60	0	0	1	-1	1	Ľ	60/1=60 replaced row
3	Xı	80	1	0	0	1	0	0	80/0=
8	Х2	60	0	1	0	0	-1	ľ	60/-1=-60 not considered
	Zj	60M – 720	3	8	М	3-M	M-8	8-M	
	<u>Ci – Zi</u>		0	0	0	M-3	8-M ♠	2M-8	
						Opti	imal colu	ımn	[

Computations for the 4<sup>th</sup> Tableau

Replacing row = new S<sub>2</sub> values: 60/1=60, 0/1=0, 0/1=0, 1/1=1, -1/1=-1, 1/1=1, -1/1=-1

<u>Old X<sub>l</sub> row</u>	—	key #	х	<u>new S2 values</u>	=	<u>new X<sub>l</sub> row</u>	
80	_	0	х	60	=	80	
1	_	0	х	0	=	1	
0	_	0	x	0	=	0	
0	_	0	x	1	=	0	
1	_	0	х	-1	=	1	
0	_	0	х	1	=	0	
0	-	0	x	-1	=	0	
Old X <sub>2</sub> row	_	key #	x	new_S2 values	=	new X <sub>2</sub> row	
60	_	-1	х	60	=	120	
0	_	-1	х	0	=	0	
1	_	-1	х	0	=	1	
0	_	-1	х	1	=	1	
0	_	-1	х	-1	=	-1	
-1	_	-1	x	1	=	0	
1	_	-1	x	-1	=	0	

#### <u>4<sup>th</sup> Tableau</u>

Çi			3	8	Μ	0	0	М
	Product mix	Quantity bi	Xı	X <sub>2</sub>	Al	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>
0	S2	60	0	0	1	-1	1	-1
3	X1	80	1	0	0	1	0	0
8	X <sub>2</sub>	120	0	1	1	-1	0	0
	Zi	1200	3	8	8	-5	0	0
	<u>Ci</u> – Zi		0	0	M-8	5	0	М

: No negative values remain in the Cj - Zj row, we have reached the OPTIMAL solution.

It is to use 80 kgs of  $X_1$  and 120 kgs of  $X_2$ . This results in a cost of 1200MU. S<sub>2</sub> represents the amount of  $X_2$  used over the minimum quantity required (60kg)

$$X_2 - S_2 + A_2 = 60 \rightarrow 120 - 60 + 0 = 60 \rightarrow 60 = 60 \therefore A_2 = 0.$$